

## Mandibular evidence for the presence of extant Colobini in the African fossil record

Laurent PALLAS





DIRECTEUR DE LA PUBLICATION / *PUBLICATION DIRECTOR* : Gilles Bloch,  
Président du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle

RÉDACTEUR EN CHEF / *EDITOR-IN-CHIEF* : Sylvain Charbonnier

RÉDACTEUR ASSOCIÉ / *ASSOCIATE EDITOR* : Didier Merle

ÉDITEUR TECHNIQUE (SUIVI ÉDITORIAL) / *DESK EDITOR (EDITORIAL PROCESS)* : Emmanuel Côté ([geodiv@mnhn.fr](mailto:geodiv@mnhn.fr))

ÉDITEUR TECHNIQUE (PRODUCTION) / *DESK EDITOR (PRODUCTION)* : Emmanuel Côté

COMITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE / *SCIENTIFIC BOARD*:

Christine Argot (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris)  
Beatriz Azanza (Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid)  
Raymond L. Bernor (Howard University, Washington DC)  
Henning Blom (Uppsala University)  
Gaël Clément (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris)  
Ted Daeschler (Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphie)  
Cédric Del Rio (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle)  
Gregory D. Edgecombe (The Natural History Museum, Londres)  
Ursula Göhlich (Natural History Museum Vienna)  
Jin Meng (American Museum of Natural History, New York)  
Brigitte Meyer-Berthaud (CIRAD, Montpellier)  
Zhu Min (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Pékin)  
Isabelle Rouget (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris)  
Sevket Sen (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, retraité)  
Stanislav Štámbek (Museum of Eastern Bohemia, Hradec Králové)  
Paul Taylor (The Natural History Museum, Londres, retraité)

COUVERTURE / *COVER*:

Réalisée à partir des Figures de l'article/*Made from the Figures of the article.*

*Geodiversitas* est indexé dans / *Geodiversitas is indexed in*:

- Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch®)
- ISI Alerting Services®
- Current Contents® / Physical, Chemical, and Earth Sciences®
- Scopus®

*Geodiversitas* est distribué en version électronique par / *Geodiversitas is distributed electronically by*:

- BioOne® (<http://www.bioone.org>)

Les articles ainsi que les nouveautés nomenclaturales publiés dans *Geodiversitas* sont référencés par /  
*Articles and nomenclatural novelties published in Geodiversitas are referenced by*:

- ZooBank® (<http://zoobank.org>)

*Geodiversitas* est une revue en flux continu publiée par les Publications scientifiques du Muséum, Paris  
*Geodiversitas is a fast track journal published by the Museum Science Press, Paris*

Les Publications scientifiques du Muséum publient aussi / *The Museum Science Press also publish*: *Adansonia, Zoosystema, Anthropolozologica, European Journal of Taxonomy, Naturae, Cryptogamie* sous-sections *Algologie, Bryologie, Mycologie, Comptes Rendus Palevol*

Diffusion – Publications scientifiques Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle  
CP 41 – 57 rue Cuvier F-75231 Paris cedex 05 (France)  
Tél.: 33 (0)1 40 79 48 05 / Fax: 33 (0)1 40 79 38 40  
[diff.pub@mnhn.fr](mailto:diff.pub@mnhn.fr) / <http://sciencepress.mnhn.fr>

Les articles publiés dans *Geodiversitas* sont distribués sous [licence CC-BY 4.0](#)/Articles published in *Geodiversitas* are distributed under a [CC-BY 4.0 license](#).  
ISSN (imprimé / *print*): 1280-9659/ ISSN (électronique / *electronic*): 1638-9395

# Mandibular evidence for the presence of extant Colobini in the African fossil record

Laurent PALLAS

Département Homme & Environnement (UMR 7194, MNHN, CNRS, Sorbonne Université),  
Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Musée de L'Homme,  
57 rue Cuvier, F-75231 Paris cedex 05 (France)  
and Laboratoire de Paléontologie, Évolution,  
Paléoécosystèmes et Paléoprimatologie (PALEVOPRIM),  
UMR 7262, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers cedex 86022 (France)  
[laurent.pallas01@univ-poitiers.fr](mailto:laurent.pallas01@univ-poitiers.fr)  
[laurent.pallas@mnhn.fr](mailto:laurent.pallas@mnhn.fr)

Submitted on 6 December 2024 | accepted on 13 October 2025 | published on 20 July 2026

[um:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:84A52876-2E91-4966-9081-7265F3D13FED](https://zoobank.org/pub:84A52876-2E91-4966-9081-7265F3D13FED)

Pallas L. 2026. — Mandibular evidence for the presence of extant Colobini in the African fossil record. *Geodiversitas* 48 (16): 335-360. <https://doi.org/10.5252/geodiversitas2026v48a16>. <http://geodiversitas.com/48/16>

## ABSTRACT

*Piliocolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 and *Procolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 are key members of the primate community from eastern and central Africa but their presence in the fossil record has yet to be documented, hampering our knowledge of their evolutionary history. Using a combination of morphometric ratio, exploratory and discriminant multivariate analyses as well as hierarchical clustering on mandibular teeth, symphysis and corpus shape and dimensions, the phenetic affinity of small colobines from Asbole and Koobi Fora with *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus* Illiger, 1811 is demonstrated. This determination provides new evidence for the assessment of the paleobiogeography and evolution of extant African colobines.

## RÉSUMÉ

*Preuves mandibulaires de la présence de colobinés actuels dans le registre fossile africain.*  
*Piliocolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 et *Procolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 sont des membres clés de la communauté des primates d'Afrique centrale et orientale, mais leur présence dans les archives fossiles n'a pas encore été documentée, ce qui entrave notre connaissance de leur histoire évolutive. En utilisant une combinaison de ratios morphométriques, d'analyses multivariées exploratoires et discriminantes ainsi qu'un regroupement hiérarchique sur les dents mandibulaires, la symphyse et la forme et les dimensions du corpus, l'affinité phénétique de petits colobes d'Asbole et de Koobi Fora avec *Piliocolobus* et *Colobus* Illiger, 1811 est ici démontrée. Ces nouvelles hypothèses taxonomiques apportent de nouvelles données sur la paléobiogéographie et l'évolution des colobinés africains actuels.

## KEY WORDS

Colobini,  
Asbole,  
Pleistocene,  
mandibles,  
symphysis,  
corpus,  
morphometry.

## MOTS CLÉS

Colobini,  
Asbole,  
Pléistocène,  
mandibules,  
symphyses,  
corpus,  
morphométrie.

## INTRODUCTION

Colobines from the equatorial forests of Africa form a monophyletic group (Fig. 1; Colobini Jerdon, 1867) of three genera (*Colobus* Illiger, 1811, *Piliocolobus* Rochebrune, 1877, and *Procolobus* Rochebrune, 1877) that likely play a role of seed dispersers (Matsuda *et al.* 2013) and are documented as a source of subsistence for chimpanzees (Bugir *et al.* 2021). Molecular data characterized *Colobus* as the sister taxon to the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade (Ting 2008; Perelman *et al.* 2011; Kuderna *et al.* 2023), with both clades documented sympatrically in western, central and eastern Africa (Fig. 1; Kingdon & Groves 2013). When documented in sympatry, eco-ethological data from the Taï Forest (Ivory Coast) highlighted that climbing and leaping is more frequent in *Piliocolobus badius* Kerr, 1792 than in *Colobus polykomos* Zimmermann, 1780, and leaf consumption higher in *Pi. badius* compared to the specialized granivory and the processing of tough and fibrous food by *Co. polykomos* (McGraw 1998; Daegling & McGraw 2001). Skeletal traits diagnostic of the *Colobus* and *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clades were identified on the dental, mandibular, cranial, and postcranial anatomy (Schultz 1958; Verheyen 1962; Strasser 1992; Groves 2007; Cardini & Elton 2009a, b; Koyabu & Endo 2009; Dunham *et al.* 2015). Recent advances in the last decades were notably done in the identification of diagnostic dental and mandibular traits (Groves 2007; Hlusko *et al.* 2016; Pallas *et al.* 2019; Brasil *et al.* 2023; Pallas *et al.* 2024a, b). Among others, these studies highlighted the large lateral prominences of the corpus and the large inferior transverse torus of the symphysis, the angulated symphysis, the reduced area of the P<sub>4</sub> relative to the M<sub>1</sub> area, and the large tuberculum sextum (C6) of *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* compared to *Colobus*. Despite those diagnostic morphological and eco-ethological traits, the evolutionary history of extant Colobini is poorly known. This is especially true for the absence of fossil record for *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus*, contrasting with the presence of *Colobus* remains in eastern African fossil deposits (Fig. 1; Frost 2001; Jablonski & Frost 2010).

Leaving aside scarce remains where taxonomy was established on isolated teeth (Eck 1977; Leakey 1987) or sites with dubious dating (Harrison & Harris 1996), a fossil species of *Colobus*, *Colobus freedmani* Jablonski & Leakey, 2008, which includes a female partial skeleton in its hypodigm along with a fairly complete putative male mandible (KNM-ER 6025), is known from the c. 1.9–1.4 Ma Okote and KBS members of the Koobi Fora Formation (Jablonski & Leakey 2008). An indeterminate *Colobus* species, hypothesized as related to extant *Colobus angolensis* Sclater, 1860, is documented in the c. 600 Ka site of Asbole from a substantial collection of mandibular remains (Frost & Alemseged 2007). A large collection of *Colobus* specimens, likened to *Co. guereza* Rüppell, 1835, from the Middle Awash research area is also known from the c. 100 Ka Faro Daba beds of the Daiwaitoli Formation in Ethiopia (Brasil *et al.* 2023). Another fossil population, partially described in Frost (2001) and possibly related to *Colobus angolensis* given its poorly dimorphic canines and heteromorphic incisors, is known from “Andalee” (see Brasil *et al.* [2023] for further

information on site denomination and chronostratigraphic context). Finally, an isolated skull of *Co. guereza* from Wadi Medani in central Sudan (Simons 1967; Jablonski & Frost 2010) and an undescribed partial skull from the Shungura Formation that may represent *Colobus* (Assefa *et al.* 2008) complete our knowledge of the *Colobus* fossil record.

Relationships between fossil *Colobus* populations have been seldomly investigated and controversy remains regarding the taxonomic homogeneity of the *Co. freedmani* sample from Koobi Fora and the taxonomic status of the Asbole specimens. Indeed, the Koobi Fora sample was described as *Colobus* by Jablonski & Leakey (2008) but previously regarded as *Procolobus* by Frost & Alemseged (2007), mainly based on the corpus robustness. In contrast, Jablonski & Leakey (2008) considered the robust corpus of the putative male KNM-ER 6025 as part of the range of variation of *Colobus*. Similarly, the colobine sample from Asbole was initially described as belonging to the *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* clade by Alemseged & Geraads (2000) and later revised to *Colobus* by Frost & Alemseged (2007). The species status of Asbole is indeterminate and its affinity with *Co. angolensis* established primarily on biogeographical data and poor canine dimorphism. It has been recently suggested that the *Colobus* cf. *guereza* samples from Faro Daba and Asbole may represent a chronolineage (Brasil *et al.* 2023), giving support for population continuity in the northern part of Ethiopia during the last 600 Ka in addition to recognizing that the Asbole sample may include the earliest members of the *Colobus guereza* lineage. Resolving the taxonomic affinity of the Asbole and Koobi Fora sample has hence implications on faunal dispersal along the East African Rift System during the Pleistocene, a time and place that witnesses the emergence of *Homo sapiens* Linnaeus, 1758.

Here, the mandibular colobine fossil record from Asbole and Koobi Fora is used to evaluate the possible presence of traits diagnostic of extant Colobini taxa that were previously disregarded. Univariate and multivariate analyses (exploratory and discriminant) are used along with hierarchical clustering established on lower teeth dimensions, symphyseal dimensions, and corpus shape to test the hypothesis that the specimens are phenetically closer to *Colobus* than to *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus*. An alternative hypothesis would posit that the Asbole and Koobi Fora samples each represent a distinct taxon or, for the large collection from Asbole, a mix of different taxa. The range of variation present within the corpus morphology of the Asbole specimens is assessed using bootstrap analyses to test the hypothesis that only one taxon is present and whether sexual dimorphism can explain differences in corpus shape between fossil specimens, as proposed by Jablonski & Leakey (2008).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## EXTANT AND FOSSIL SAMPLES

The dimensions of the lower canine, premolars, and molars of  $n = 113$  specimens and the symphyseal dimensions of  $n = 119$  specimens extant Colobini were measured. The depth of the corpus, at mid-M<sub>1</sub>, of  $n = 233$  extant Colobini specimens




FIG. 1. — **A**, Geographic distribution of extant *Colobus* Illiger, 1811, *Piliocolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 and *Procolobus* Rochebrune, 1877; **B**, chronocladogram of extant Colobini with uncertainty in divergence dates modeled as a gray rectangle; **C**, chronostratigraphic frame of fossil Colobini (**upper panel**) along with 3D generated surfaces of Asbole and Koobi Fora mandibles discussed in the text (**central panel**) and geographic locations of fossiliferous deposits discussed in the text along the geographic range of extant Colobini (**right panel**). Scale bars: B, 20 mm; C, 10 mm. Illustration and photos: Laurent Pallas.

were also measured along with landmark digitization on the transverse cross-section of  $n = 178$  corpus of extant Colobini. A list of the extant and fossil specimens used in this study is provided in Appendix 1. Only adult specimens were included in the analysis, and their adult status was asserted using the  $M_3$  eruption. Extant and fossil Colobini specimens were collected from the National Museums of Ethiopia (Addis-Abeba), the National Museums of Kenya (KNM, Nairobi), the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris), the Royal Museum for Central Africa (RMCA, Tervuren), the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology (ZSM, Munich), the Center for the Evolutionary Origins of Human Behavior (EHUB, Kyoto

University, Inuyama), the Zoological Collection of the Kyoto University, the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History (USNM, Washington), the American Museum of Natural History (New York), the Stony Brook University (New York), and the PALEVOPRIM Laboratory (University of Poitiers).

#### DATA ACQUISITION AND MORPHOMETRY

Fossil and extant specimens integrated in this study were scanned using the Artec Space Spider and Einscan Pro 2X surface scanners as well as using photogrammetry given photographs obtained with a Panasonic Lumix DC-GX9 camera. For the dental fossil data, I measured dental dimensions from






FIG. 2. — Morphometric protocols for quantifying: **A**, dental dimensions; **B**, symphyseal dimensions; **C**, shape of the corpus. Illustration and photos: Laurent Pallas.

3D-generated surfaces using Avizo v7.0. The mesiodistal (MD) and buccolingual (BD) dimensions of the lower canine, premolars, and molars were measured to the nearest tenth of millimeters following the protocol illustrated in Figure 2A. Three dental ratios were used to evaluate the phenetic similarity between extant and fossil taxa. Precisely, these ratios quantify the MD elongation of the lower canine relative to the  $M_2$  area, the area of the  $P_4$  relative to that of the  $M_1$  and the length of the  $M_3$  relative to the  $M_2$  area.

The length of the symphysis, the breadth of the symphyseal transverse tori and the angulation of the symphysis relative to occlusal plane (Fig. 2B) were measured from pictures of sagittal cross-section of symphysis using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.* 2012) and following the protocol presented in Pallas *et al.* 2024a. In addition to differences in absolute angulation of the symphysis, the ratio of the symphyseal transverse torus (STT) breadth over the breadth of the symphyseal inferior torus (ITT) was used to investigate phenetic similarity between extant and fossil sample.

Three landmarks and seventy-five semilandmarks (Fig. 2C) were digitized on transverse cross-sections of the corpus of extant and fossil colobines following the protocol presented in Pallas *et al.* (2024b). To summarize, cross-section images at the  $M_1/M_2$  junction were obtained using Avizo with landmarks and semilandmarks digitization done using tpsDig (Rohlf 2006). Three landmarks were placed at the 1) most proximal and buccal point of the corpus; 2) most inferior point of the corpus; and 3) most proximal and lingual point of the corpus. Seventy-five semilandmarks were then digitized equidistally along the corpus outline.

## REPEATABILITY OF MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSES

To verify the repeatability of the new protocol proposed here for the symphyseal morphometric analyses, four colobine specimens belonging to four different taxa (*Nasalis larvatus* Geoffroy, 1812; *Colobus satanas* Waterhouse, 1838; *Ptiloobus badius* Kerr, 1972; *Procolobus verus* Van Beneden, 1838) were measured five times each for each measurement by the same observer (LP). The measurement error estimate follows the computation presented in White & Folkens (2005) and a maximum error threshold of 5% was adopted. Briefly, the average value of the five replicates was calculated and then subtracted from each replicate value. The resulting cumulative differences were then divided by the number of measurements, which was five in this case, to obtain a percentage of error.

All results for replicability of the symphyseal measurements are presented in Appendix 2. The range of measurement error for symphyseal length (0.4-2.0%), breadth of the superior transverse torus (0.2-3.9%), breadth of the inferior transverse torus (0.4-0.9%), planum alveolare length (1.4-3.8%), and symphyseal angulation (2.6-5%) never exceeded 5% of error and are considered as repeatable.

A different approach was used to test for the repeatability of the 2D geometric morphometric analysis of the corpus shape. Repeatability is here considered as the proportion of the between taxa variance compared to the total observed variance (between and within taxa). Landmarks and semilandmarks were digitized by the same observer (LP) on four specimens of four different colobine taxa (same as previously). Landmarks and semilandmarks coordinates were subjected to a Generalized Procrustes Analysis and a principal component analysis (PCA) was run on the Procrustes coordinates (see below subsection below for more details). Repeatability was assessed on PCA scores using the rptGaussian function of rptR (Stoffel *et al.* 2017). For each PC scores, the within taxa variation is extremely low and nearly all the variation is explained by the between taxa variation for PC1 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.99-1), PC2 (95% CI = 0.98-1), and PC3 scores (95% CI = 0.97-0.99). This result is confirmed graphically in Appendix 3.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were done using R v.4.4.1 (R Core Team 2024) and the interface RStudio v.2023.12.0 (RStudio Team 2020). All the packages and functions used can be found in Table 1.

Univariate analyses: First, phenetic similarity was evaluated by comparing dental ratio values between fossil specimens and extant Colobini. The formulas for the dental ratios can be found in Table 2. Such ratios were previously recognized as significantly distinct between extant Colobini taxa but given that additional specimens were added compared to Pallas *et al.* (2019), new statistical tests were computed to evaluate whether differences are still significant. Significance was evaluated using a one-way parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis. Normality and homoscedasticity of the model residuals were evaluated

TABLE 1. — List of the R package and associated functions in this article.

| Analyses                                        | Packages | Functions     | References                 |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------------|
| GPA                                             | geomorph | gpagen        | Adams <i>et al.</i> 2024   |
| PCA on Procrustes coordinates (corpus)          | geomorph | gm.prcomp     | –                          |
| PCA on linear dimensions (dental and symphysis) | stats    | prcomp        | R Core Team 2024           |
| ANOVA                                           | stats    | aov           | –                          |
| Kruskal-Wallis                                  | stats    | kruskal.test  | –                          |
| MANOVA                                          | stats    | manova        | –                          |
| Shapiro-Wilk                                    | stats    | shapiro.test  | –                          |
| Bartlett                                        | stats    | bartlett.test | –                          |
| Tukey HSD                                       | stats    | TukeyHSD      | –                          |
| Hierarchical Clustering                         | stats    | hclust        | –                          |
| PERMANOVA                                       | vegan    | adonis        | Oksanen <i>et al.</i> 2001 |
| Multivariate Shapiro-Wilk                       | rstatix  | mshapiro_test | Kassambara 2023            |
| Box M                                           | rstatix  | box_m         | –                          |
| Dunn                                            | FSA      | dunnTest      | Ogle <i>et al.</i> 2023    |
| rptR                                            | rptR     | rptGaussian   | Stoffel <i>et al.</i> 2017 |
| LDA                                             | MASS     | lda           | Venables & Ripley 1997     |

prior to the ANOVAs using the Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests, respectively. To identify pairs of taxa that differ significantly, the Tukey Honest Significant Differences (HSD) post-hoc test following ANOVA and the Dunn test following Kruskal-Wallis were used.

**Principal Component Analyses and Generalized Procrustes Analysis:** Following comparison of univariate data, a principal component analysis (PCA) was used to explore and order variation within the dental, symphyseal and corpus datasets. Data was transformed using the log-shape ratio method prior to PCA for the dental and symphyseal data while a Generalized Procrustes Analysis (GPA) was used for the corpus landmark dataset. The log-shape ratio method standardizes data and mitigates the confounding effect of size (Mosimann 1970). The GPA was applied on the 2D landmarks and semi-landmarks coordinates, permitting retaining shape data only by translating, scaling, and rotating the landmarks and semi-landmarks coordinates of each specimen.

Significant differences in PC scores between extant genera were examined using the parametric multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and the non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). Normality and homoscedasticity were evaluated prior to MANOVA and PERMANOVA using the multivariate Shapiro-Wilk and Box M tests, respectively. Differences in PC scores between pairs of taxa were evaluated using Tukey HSD and Dunn post-hoc tests.

**Sexual dimorphism in corpus shape:** To evaluate sexual dimorphism in corpus shape, a MANOVA on the scores of the three PCs of the aligned Procrustes coordinates was run. Multivariate normality and homogeneity of covariances were evaluated prior to MANOVA using the multivariate Shapiro-Wilk and Box M tests, respectively. The MANOVA was fol-

TABLE 2. — Formulas of the dental ratios. Used formula:  $C_1 MD / (M_2 MBL \times M_2 DBL)$ .

|                            | Descriptive statistics |             |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Relative canine elongation | <i>Colobus</i>         | 0.18 (0.02) |
|                            | <i>Piliocolobus</i>    | 0.21 (0.04) |
|                            | <i>Procolobus</i>      | 0.27 (0.05) |
|                            | <i>Asbole</i>          | 0.20 (0.04) |
| $P_4$ area/ $M_1$ area     | <i>Colobus</i>         | 0.70 (0.06) |
|                            | <i>Piliocolobus</i>    | 0.64 (0.05) |
|                            | <i>Procolobus</i>      | 0.61 (0.06) |
|                            | <i>Asbole</i>          | 0.65 (0.05) |
| Relative length of $M_3$   | <i>Colobus</i>         | 0.22 (0.02) |
|                            | <i>Piliocolobus</i>    | 0.27 (0.02) |
|                            | <i>Procolobus</i>      | 0.32 (0.03) |
|                            | <i>Asbole</i>          | 0.26 (0.03) |

lowed by a Tukey HSD test to identify pairs of significantly distinct taxa.

**Linear Discriminant Analyses (LDA):** Following exploration and ordination of the variation, a linear discriminant analysis was used on the first four PCs of the dental, symphyseal and corpus PCAs to taxonomically classify fossil specimens and to highlight their phenetic affinities towards *Colobus*, *Piliocolobus* or *Procolobus*. Prior to inclusion of fossil specimens, a jackknife analysis was used to evaluate the accuracy of the model to correctly classify extant specimens.

**Hierarchical clustering:** Hierarchical clustering was used to assess the phenetic affinity of the *Asbole* specimens. A distance matrix was first computed using Euclidean distance on the scaled and centered values of the first two mean PC scores of dental shapes of the three extant Colobini genera in addition to the first two PC scores of corpus shape and the first three PC scores of symphyseal shape. The hierarchical clustering was computed using the Ward clustering method.

Two different hierarchical clustering were done given different operational taxonomic unit (OTU) for the *Asbole* specimens. The first clustering incorporates the mean value of all *Asbole* specimens for dental, corpus, and symphyseal shape. This view hence recognizes the *Asbole* colobine specimens as part of a single taxon. The second clustering includes only ASB-232-6 and ASB-100-1 from which a complete dataset for dental, corpus, and symphyseal shape is available.

**Bootstrap analyses:** To evaluate whether the range of variation of the corpus shape of the *Asbole* sample and the combined *Asbole* and Koobi Fora samples can be accommodated in the same genus, a bootstrap analysis was carried out based on comparison of the coefficient of variation. To this end, 10,000 random distributions were generated for *Colobus*, *Procolobus*, and *Piliocolobus* based on PC1 and PC2 scores obtained from the original dataset. Then, 10,000 random distributions were generated based on  $n = 6$  and  $n = 7$  specimens randomly selected for each extant genus. The number of specimens randomly selected corresponds to the number of specimens included in the *Asbole* sample ( $n = 6$ ) and the combined *Asbole* and Koobi Fora samples ( $n = 7$ ). Finally, coefficient of variation (i.e., standard deviation/mean) for each of the generated distribution of extant Colobini genera were computed and compared with that of the fossil sample.

TABLE 3. — Results of the statistical tests of the dental and symphyseal ratios.

|                                         | Normality<br>(Shapiro-Wilk) | Homoscedasticity<br>(Bartlett)       | Model (ANOVA or<br>Kruskal-Wallis)                           | Post-Hoc (Tukey HSD or Dunn)                                                                   | p. val.                          |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Relative elongation of C <sub>1</sub>   | W = 1, p. val. < 0.05       | K <sup>2</sup> = 33, p. val. < 0.01  | Kruskal-Wallis<br>X <sup>2</sup> = 50,<br>p. val. < 0.01     | <i>Colobus-Piliocolobus</i><br><i>Colobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i>     | < 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.01     |
| P <sub>4</sub> area/M <sub>1</sub> area | W = 1, p. val. = 0.4        | K <sup>2</sup> = 0.8, p. val. = 0.8  | ANOVA<br>F = 25.9,<br>p. val. < 0.001                        | <i>Colobus-Piliocolobus</i><br><i>Colobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i>     | < 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>= 0.24     |
| Relative length of M <sub>3</sub>       | W = 1, p. val. = 0.7        | K <sup>2</sup> = 6, p. val. = 0.06   | ANOVA<br>F = 171,<br>p. val. < 0.001                         | <i>Colobus-Piliocolobus</i><br><i>Colobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i>     | = 0.06<br>= 0.11<br>= 0.06       |
| Symphyseal tori breadth<br>differential | W = 10.9, p. val. < 0.001   | K <sup>2</sup> = 16, p. val. < 0.001 | Kruskal-Wallis<br><br>X <sup>2</sup> = 63,<br>p. val. < 0.01 | <i>Colobus-Piliocolobus</i><br><br><i>Colobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i> | < 0.001<br><br>< 0.001<br>= 0.24 |
| Symphyseal angulation                   | W = 1, p. val. = 0.3        | K <sup>2</sup> = 5, p. val. = 0.07   | ANOVA<br>F = 10,<br>p. val. < 0.001                          | <i>Colobus-Piliocolobus</i><br><i>Colobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i>     | = 0.001<br>= 0.392<br>< 0.001    |

A bootstrap analysis was also generated using corpus height below mid-M<sub>1</sub> as many specimens from Asbole ( $n = 10$ ) preserves this dimension. Compared to the corpus shape bootstrap, that of the mid-M<sub>1</sub> depth of the corpus was evaluated at species level using  $n = 7$  species of Colobini: *Co. angolensis*, *Co. guereza*, *Co. polykomos*, *Pi. langi* Allen, 1925, *Pi. parmentieri* Colyn & Verheyen, 1987, *Pi. tholloni* Milne-Edwards, 1886, and *Pro. verus*. Corpus depth at M<sub>1</sub> is also reported for the Faro Daba *Co. guereza* specimens (Brasil *et al.* 2023), and its coefficient of variation compared to a combined Faro Daba, Koobi Fora and Asbole sample and also to the coefficient of variation of extant Colobini species.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

##### Institutions

|          |                                                                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AMNH     | American Museum of Natural History, New York;                                            |
| MNHN     | Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris;                                             |
| KAS      | Osteological collection of the Physical Anthropology Laboratory of the Kyoto University; |
| KUPRI    | Kyoto University, Primate Research Institute;                                            |
| MCZ      | Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge;                            |
| KNM-OM   | Kenya National Museum, Osteology collection;                                             |
| RMCA     | Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren;                                               |
| SBU RS   | Stony Brook University, Randal Susman collection;                                        |
| SNSB-ZSM | Staatliche Naturwissenschaftliche Sammlungen Bayerns;                                    |
| USNM     | Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington.                 |

##### Collections

|    |                          |
|----|--------------------------|
| F  | MNHN, fossil collection; |
| ZM | MNHN, mammal collection. |

##### Other abbreviations

|     |                                  |
|-----|----------------------------------|
| MD  | mesiodistal;                     |
| BL  | buccolingual ;                   |
| STT | superior transverse torus;       |
| ITT | inferior transverse torus;       |
| PCA | principal component analysis;    |
| GPA | generalized procrustes analysis; |

|       |                               |
|-------|-------------------------------|
| ANOVA | analysis of variance;         |
| PC    | principal component;          |
| LDA   | linear discriminant analysis. |

## RESULTS

### UNIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE DENTAL ANALYSES

Relative canine elongation: The index of the MD length of the canine relative to the M<sub>2</sub> area statistically discriminates *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus* from *Colobus* (Fig. 3A; Table 3). The canines of the former are mesiodistally elongated compared to the M<sub>2</sub> area, having significantly higher index values (Table 3), and mesiodistally longer canines than *Colobus*. This condition reaches an extreme level in *Procolobus*, which has significantly longer canines relative to the M<sub>2</sub> area than those of *Colobus* and *Piliocolobus*. The position of the Asbole colobines is ambiguous and apart from ASB-254, they occupy an intermediate position between the interquartile range of *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus* (Fig. 3A). Precisely, ASB-100-1 and ASB-232-6 have index values consistent with the interquartile of *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus* while ASB-80 and ASB-215-2 fall in the interquartile range of *Colobus* only and in the upper range of variation of *Piliocolobus*.

Area of the P<sub>4</sub> relative to the area of the M<sub>1</sub>: The index of the area of the P<sub>4</sub> relative to the area of the M<sub>1</sub> statistically discriminate *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus* from *Colobus* (Fig. 3B and Table 3), with the former two genera having a reduced P<sub>4</sub> relative to M<sub>1</sub> dimensions, and hence statistically lower index values compared to *Colobus* (Table 3). The Asbole colobine sample has a mean index value consistent with the interquartile range of *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus*. Precisely, ASB-215-2 and ASB-232-6 are falling in the interquartile range of *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* while ASB-100-1 and ASB-25-6 are fitting with the interquartile range of *Colobus* (Fig. 3B). Similarly, *Colobus freedmani* KNM-ER 6025 falls in the interquartile range of *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* and in the first quartile of *Colobus*.






FIG. 3. — **A**, Boxplots of the relative canine elongation of extant and fossil colobines; **B**, boxplots of the  $P_4$  area/ $M_1$  area ratio of extant and fossil colobines; **C**, boxplots of the relative length of the  $M_3$  of extant and fossil colobines. All boxplots with median (black bar), outliers (black dot), first quartile and third quartile. Illustration: Laurent Pallas.

Relative  $M_3$  elongation: MD length of the  $M_3$  relative to the  $M_2$  area statistically discriminates *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* from *Colobus* (Fig. 3C and Table 3). *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* have significantly higher index values compared to *Colobus*, illustrating their longer  $M_3$  compared to the  $M_2$  area. The  $M_3$  of *Procolobus* is even longer, relative to the  $M_2$  area, than that of *Piliocolobus*. While ASB-76 conforms to the interquartile range of *Colobus*, the specimens ASB-232-6, ASB-80, and ASB-25-6 are within or close to the interquartile range of *Piliocolobus*. ASB-259 and ASB-100-1 are included in the range of variation of both *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*.

#### PCA of dental dimensions

PC1 and PC2 account for *c.* 47% of the variance (Fig. 4). PC1 scores discriminate *Piliocolobus* from *Colobus*, although interquartile ranges of variation greatly overlap, and PC2 scores discriminate *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* from *Colobus* (Table 4). Positive scores on PC1 are driven by  $P_3$  and  $C_1$  dimensions while negative scores are driven by the molars BL breadth (Fig. 4). Positive scores on PC2 are influenced by the MD length of the molars and by the BL breadth of the  $P_4$  and the BL breadth of the anterior loph of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* have significantly higher scores on PC2 than *Colobus* (Table 4). The Asbole colobines

TABLE 4. — Results of the statistical tests of the dental and symphyseal PCA.

|                         | Multivariate Normality<br>(Shapiro-Wilk multivariate<br>extension) | Homogeneity<br>of covariance | Model (MANOVA or<br>Kruskal-Wallis)                       | Post-Hoc<br>(Tukey HSD or Dunn)                                                                | p. val.                          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PCA Scores Dental       | p. val. = 0.0013                                                   | p. val. = 0.023              | MANOVA PC1<br>F val. = 0.47, p. val. = 0.63               | NA<br>—<br>—                                                                                   | —<br>—<br>—                      |
|                         |                                                                    |                              | MANOVA PC2<br>F val. = 38.6, p. val. < 0.001              | <i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i>     | < 0.001<br>< 0.05<br>< 0.001     |
|                         |                                                                    |                              | MANOVA PC3<br>F val. = 14.8, p. val. < 0.001              | <i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i>     | —<br>< 0.05<br>< 0.001           |
| PCA Scores<br>Symphysis | p. val. < 0.001                                                    | p. val. = 0.07               | PERMANOVA PC1<br><br>X <sup>2</sup> = 68, p. val. < 0.001 | <i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i> | < 0.001<br><br>< 0.05<br>< 0.001 |
|                         |                                                                    |                              | PERMANOVA PC2<br>X <sup>2</sup> = 61, p. val. < 0.001     | <i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Piliocolobus-Procolobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i>     | < 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.01     |
|                         |                                                                    |                              | PERMANOVA PC3<br>X <sup>2</sup> = 0.1, p. val. = 0.7      | NA<br>—                                                                                        | —<br>—                           |

TABLE 5. — Posterior probabilities of the Asbole specimens belonging to each extant Colobini genera, based on dental PCA scores.

| Specimen  | Taxon              | <i>Colobus</i> | <i>Piliocolobus</i> | <i>Procolobus</i> |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| ASB-80    | <i>Colobus</i> sp. | 38%            | 58%                 | 4%                |
| ASB-100-1 | indet.             | 67%            | 14%                 | 33%               |
| ASB-232-6 |                    | 35%            | 60%                 | 5%                |

have negative scores on PC1 and, apart from ASB-100-1, positive scores on PC2, hence better conforming with the *Piliocolobus* – *Procolobus* pattern on PC2 (Fig. 4). Discrimination between extant taxa is observed on PC2 with no overlap in interquartile ranges, underlining the phenetic affinity of the fossil ASB-100-1 with *Colobus* given its inclusion in the interquartile range of *Colobus* on PC2. However, none of the Asbole colobines are outside the range of variation of any extant Colobini. PC3, accounting for c. 11% of the variance, distinguishes *Procolobus* from *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*. The positive scores of *Procolobus* can be explained by the mesial and distal breadth of M<sub>3</sub> and the distal breadth of M<sub>2</sub>. While ASB-100-1 and ASB-80 correspond to the interquartile range of *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*, ASB-232-6 aligns with the interquartile range of *Procolobus*, although it remains within the range of variation of all extant Colobini.

#### LDA of dental dimensions

*Colobus* can be distinguished from *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus* based on its positive scores on LD1 (Fig. 5). The positive scores of *Procolobus* on LD2 distinguish it from *Piliocolobus*. While ASB-232-6 and ASB-80 show a combination of negative LD1 scores and positive LD2 scores like *Piliocolobus*, ASB-100-1 has a null LD1 score and negative LD2 score more similar to

TABLE 6. — Descriptive statistics, per genus, for the symphyseal ratio and raw symphyseal measurement with standard deviation given in brackets.

|                                             | Formula                     | Descriptive statistics |              |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Torus transverse<br>breadth<br>differential | STT breadth/<br>ITT breadth | <i>Colobus</i>         | 1.61 (0.25)  |
|                                             |                             | <i>Piliocolobus</i>    | 1.14 (0.14)  |
|                                             |                             | <i>Procolobus</i>      | 1.25 (0.27)  |
|                                             |                             | <i>Asbole</i>          | 1.30 (0.05)  |
| Symphyseal<br>angulation                    | Raw data                    | <i>Colobus</i>         | 46.88 (3.66) |
|                                             |                             | <i>Piliocolobus</i>    | 44.06 (3.15) |
|                                             |                             | <i>Procolobus</i>      | 48.10 (4.82) |
|                                             |                             | <i>Asbole</i>          | 49.75 (3.13) |

*Colobus* (Fig. 5). According to the jackknife analysis, dental dimensions correctly classify the generic attribution of 71% of the extant specimens randomly included in the training dataset. Classification for the fossil specimens ASB-80, ASB-100-1 and ASB-232-6 are ambiguous and does not go over 67% of posterior probability (Table 5). However, it permits to highlight that ASB-100-1 has a greater phenetic affinity with *Colobus*, with a posterior probability of 67%, than with *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus*, a view consistent with its negative scores on PC2. On the contrary, ASB-80 has a posterior probability of 62% to belong to the *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* while ASB-232-6 has a posterior probability of 65% (Table 5).

#### UNIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE ANALYSES OF THE MANDIBULAR SYMPHYSIS

Breadth differential of the transverse tori: The differences in breadth between the STT and ITT differentiate extant Colobini, with *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* having tori of nearly equal breadth, and hence a significantly lower index compared to the marked breadth differential of the transverse tori of




FIG. 4. — Boxplots of PC1 scores on the left panel and PC2 scores on the right panel of the PCA established on dental dimensions. A barplot illustrating the relative contribution of the first four PCs, in %, to the total variance is given on the central panel, along with a correlation circle for the PC1-PC2 biplot. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.






Fig. 5. — Biplot of the LD1-LD2 scores of the LDA established on PC scores of the dental dimensions. Illustration: Laurent Pallas.

*Colobus* (Fig. 6A, Table 6 and Table 3). The Asbole colobines occupy an intermediate position, falling in the third quartile of *Piliocolobus* and first quartile of *Colobus*. However, they fall in the interquartile range of variation of *Procolobus*.

**Symphyseal angulation:** The symphysis of *Piliocolobus* is significantly more inclined than that of *Colobus* and *Procolobus* (Fig. 6B, Table 3 and Table 6). Apart from the obtuse symphysis of ASB-100-1, all other Asbole colobines have an inclination of the symphysis fitting with the interquartile range of variation of *Procolobus* and *Colobus*.

#### PCA of symphyseal dimensions

PC1 and PC2 account for 75% of the variance (Fig. 7). Positive scores on PC1 are influenced by the breadth of the STT, the length of the planum alveolare and the length of the symphysis while negative scores are due to the breadth of the ITT and angulation of the symphysis. Positive scores on PC2 are influenced by the length of the symphysis and breadth of the ITT whereas negative scores are due to the angulation of the symphysis. All Colobini genera can be significantly discriminated on PC1 scores, with positive scores for *Colobus*, slightly negative scores for *Piliocolobus* and highly negative scores for *Procolobus* (Fig. 7 and Table 4). Similarly, Colobini genera can be significantly discriminated on PC2 scores with *Piliocolobus* having positive scores, *Colobus* slightly negative scores and *Procolobus* lower scores relative to *Colobus*. Asbole colobines present an intermediate position on PC1, with ASB-100-1, ASB-232-6 and ASB-215-2 having negative scores like *Piliocolobus*, and ASB-94-1 a positive score like *Colobus* (Fig. 7). Asbole colobines better conforms with *Colobus* on PC2 with ASB-100-1, ASB-251-2 and ASB-232-6 showing slightly negative scores falling in the interquartile range of the former.

TABLE 7. — Posterior probabilities of the Asbole specimens belonging to each extant Colobini genera, based on symphyseal PCA scores.

| Specimen  | Taxon              | <i>Colobus</i> | <i>Piliocolobus</i> | <i>Procolobus</i> |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| ASB-232-6 | <i>Colobus</i> sp. | 31%            | 51%                 | 18%               |
| ASB-215-2 | indet.             | 51%            | 41%                 | 8%                |
| ASB-94-1  |                    | 53%            | 46%                 | 1%                |
| ASB-100-1 |                    | 58%            | 35%                 | 7%                |

#### LDA of symphyseal dimensions

On the LD1-LD2 biplot, *Colobus* specimens are typified by negative LD1 scores and positive LD2 scores, contrasting with the positive LD1 scores and negative LD2 scores of *Piliocolobus* (Fig. 8).

The jackknife analysis drawn from the symphyseal dimensions correctly classified the generic attribution of 92% of the extant specimens included in the training dataset. ASB-232-6 has a posterior probability of 69% to belong to the *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* clade while ASB-100-1 has a posterior probability of 58% to belong to *Colobus* (Table 7). These results contrast with the more ambiguous generic attribution of ASB-215-2 and ASB-94-1 which have posterior probabilities of 51% and 53%, respectively, to belong to the genus *Colobus* (Table 7).

#### UNIVARIATE AND MULTIVARIATE ANALYSES OF THE MANDIBULAR CORPUS

Taxonomic discrimination based on corpus shape: PC1 and PC2 account for 72% of the variance (Fig. 9). *Colobus* and *Procolobus* shows, on average, slightly negative scores on PC1 compared to the slightly positive scores of *Piliocolobus*. On PC2, *Colobus* has, on average, slightly negative scores, and contrast with the slightly positive scores of *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* (Table 8). Shape changes along PC1 (Fig. 9) are explained by an overall gracility of the corpus (high and thin in contrast to short and broad) from low scores (gracile corpus) to positive scores (robust corpus). Shape changes along PC2 (Fig. 9) rather reflects the development of the lateral prominences (positive scores) and the development of the submandibular fossa (negative scores). On PC1, the Asbole colobines have greatly (e.g., ASB-232-6) or slightly positive scores (e.g., ASB-25-6), hence better conforming with the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* mean scores and its robust corpus (Fig. 9). A similar observation can be made for KNM-ER 6025. On PC2, the Asbole colobines also have greatly (e.g., ASB-80) or slightly (e.g., ASB-25-6) positive scores. KNM-ER 6025 has the highest positive scores of all the fossil colobines on PC2. Overall, the positive scores of the Asbole specimens and KNM-ER 6025 on PC2 demonstrate their enlarged lateral prominences, like *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* but distinct from *Colobus* (Fig. 9).

#### Sexual dimorphism of the corpus shape

Sexual dimorphism, as expressed by significant differences in PC scores, is not visible on PC1 scores although female *Piliocolobus* are significantly distinct from both male and female *Colobus* and male *Procolobus* are significantly distinct from male and female *Piliocolobus* (Table 8). Sexual dimorphism is significant on PC2 scores for *Colobus*, with significantly




FIG. 6. — **A**, Boxplots of the breadth differential of the symphyseal tori of extant and fossil colobines; **B**, boxplots of the symphyseal angulation of extant and fossil colobines. All boxplots with median (**black bar**), outliers (**black dot**), first quartile and third quartile. Outlines of the symphysis of the Asbole colobines are given in the central panel. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.

lower PC2 scores for females compared to males. Negative PC2 in female *Colobus* is associated with poorly developed lateral prominences and larger submandibular fossa compared to males. In contrast, no significant sexual dimorphism was detected for *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus*. On PC2, male *Colobus* and *Procolobus* show higher scores than female specimens. On PC2, the Asbole and Koobi Fora colobines have positive scores and are above the interquartile range of variation of female *Colobus* but are still within the range of variation of male *Colobus* (Fig. 10). ASB-80 and ASB-232-6 were considered as female *Colobus* by Frost & Alemseged (2007), presumably based on canine size, but they are outside the range of variation of female *Colobus* on PC2 scores but fall in the third quartile range of female *Piliocolobus*.

#### LDA of corpus shape

*Colobus* shows negative LD1 scores and null LD2 scores distinct from the positive LD1 scores and more negative LD2 scores of *Piliocolobus* (Fig. 9).

The jackknife analysis drawn from the corpus shape correctly classified the generic attribution of 76% of the extant specimens included in the training dataset. Posterior probability of belonging to *Colobus* is high and above 75% for ASB-100-1, ASB-259, and ASB-254 (Table 9). In contrast, posterior probability of belonging to the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade is high for KNMER 6025 with a value of 74% (addition of the posterior probability obtained for *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus*). Other Asbole specimens show posterior probability inferior to 75% and are thus treated as ambiguous (Table 9).

TABLE 8. — Results of the statistical tests of the corpus shape PCA Scores Corpus (PC1+PC2+PC3 ~ Sex\*Genera).

| Multivariate Normality<br>(Shapiro-Wilk<br>multivariate extension) | Homogeneity<br>of covariance | Model (MANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis)                                                                                                     | Post-Hoc (Tukey HSD or Dunn)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | p. val.                                                                         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| p. val. = 0.06                                                     | p. val. = 0.7                | <b>MANOVA PC1</b><br>Sex F val. = 3.38, p. val. = 0.07<br>Genera F val. = 8.62, p. val. < 0.001                                      | —<br>—<br><i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Piliocolobus</i>                                                                                                                                                                              | —<br>—<br>< 0.001<br>= 1<br>< 0.01                                              |
|                                                                    |                              | Sex*Genera F val. = 2.67, p. val. = 0.07                                                                                             | F <i>Piliocolobus-F Colobus</i><br>F <i>Piliocolobus-M Colobus</i><br>M <i>Procolobus-F Piliocolobus</i><br>M <i>Procolobus-M Piliocolobus</i>                                                                                                                                    | = 0.03<br>= 0.01<br>< 0.01<br>= 0.01                                            |
|                                                                    |                              | <b>MANOVA PC2</b><br>Sex F val. = 28.19, p. val. < 0.001<br>Genera F val. = 19.60, p. val. < 0.001                                   | —<br>—<br><i>Piliocolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Colobus</i><br><i>Procolobus-Piliocolobus</i>                                                                                                                                                                              | —<br>—<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>= 0.96                                          |
|                                                                    |                              | Sex*Genera F val. = 0.67, p. val. = 0.5                                                                                              | M <i>Colobus-F Colobus</i><br>M <i>Piliocolobus-F Piliocolobus</i><br>M <i>Procolobus-F Procolobus</i><br>F <i>Piliocolobus-F Colobus</i><br>M <i>Piliocolobus-F Colobus</i><br>M <i>Procolobus-F Colobus</i><br>M <i>Piliocolobus-M Colobus</i><br>M <i>Procolobus-M Colobus</i> | < 0.001<br>= 0.7<br>= 0.09<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.001<br>< 0.05<br>< 0.05 |
|                                                                    |                              | <b>MANOVA PC3</b><br>Sex F val. = 0, p. val. = 1<br>Genera F val. = 1.62, p. val. = 0.20<br>Sex*Genera F val. = 2.67, p. val. = 0.13 | —<br>—<br>—<br>—                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | —<br>—<br>—<br>—                                                                |

*Bootstrap analysis on corpus shape*

The coefficient of variation of PC1 and PC2 scores of the Asbole sample and of the combined Asbole and Koobi Fora (i.e., KNM-ER 6025) sample never exceed that of any extant Colobini genera, demonstrating that, given corpus shape, they could be part of the same genus and that the sample from Asbole can be accommodated in one genus (Appendix 4).

*Bootstrap analysis on the superoinferior dimension of the corpus at mid-M<sub>1</sub>*

When the Asbole specimens are considered as a single taxon, its coefficient of variation for corpus depth at mid-M<sub>1</sub> never significantly exceeds that of the seven considered extant Colobini species (Appendix 5). Similarly, when the coefficient of variation of the combined Asbole, Faro Daba and Koobi Fora sample is compared with that of the seven considered extant Colobini species, it does not significantly exceed it.

*Hierarchical clustering*

The hierarchical clustering including all Asbole specimens in a single taxon demonstrates that it is phenetically more like *Piliocolobus* than to *Procolobus* and *Colobus* (Fig. 11A). The mean values of the PC1 scores of the dental PCA and the PC3 scores of the symphyseal PCA of the Asbole colobines are quite unlike that of extant Colobini, but all other PC scores, especially those of the corpus PCA are consistent with those of *Piliocolobus*. When PC scores of the dental dimensions, symphyseal dimensions and corpus shape of ASB-232-6 and ASB-100-1 are considered distinctly in the hierarchical

clustering analysis, it gave a distinct result, with ASB-232-6 as the sister taxon to *Piliocolobus* and ASB-100-1 as close to *Colobus* (Fig. 11A). The main differences between ASB-232-6 and ASB-100-1 are observed in the PC1 dental and PC3 symphyseal scores. ASB-100-1 is phenetically closer to *Colobus* on the PC1 and PC2 dental scores while ASB-232-6 is closer to *Piliocolobus* on the PC1 and PC3 symphyseal scores. When only corpus and dental data are considered, ASB-80 and ASB-232-6 are recovered as sister taxon to all other extant taxa. ASB-100-1 is nested within extant taxa but is clustered with *Procolobus* instead of *Colobus*. While ASB-100-1 is similar to extant Colobini in PC1 dental score, ASB-80 and ASB-232-6 are quite distinct from them.

*Summary of the taxonomic attribution of the Asbole colobines*

Overall, the hierarchical clustering established on dental, corpus, and symphyseal shape demonstrated that the Asbole colobines, when considered as a single population, are phenetically closer to *Piliocolobus* than to other extant Colobini genera (Fig. 11A). When the total evidence pattern (teeth, symphysis, and corpus) is considered for ASB-100-1 and ASB-232-6, it demonstrates the affinity of the former with *Colobus* and the affinity of the latter with *Piliocolobus*. When only the dental and corpus evidence are considered, a different result is reached, with ASB-80 as the sister taxon to ASB-232-6 outside of a cluster encompassing extant Colobini and ASB-100-1.

Regarding specific results obtained for morphometric ratios and discriminative analyses, the breadth differential






FIG. 7. — Boxplots of PC1 scores on the upper panel and PC2 scores on the lower panel of the PCA established on symphyseal dimensions. A barplot illustrating the relative contribution of the first four PCs, in %, to the total variance is given on the central panel, along with a correlation circle for the PC1-PC2 biplot. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.

of the transverse tori of ASB-232-6 is more like *Procolobus* and *Piliocolobus* than *Colobus*, whereas the morphology of specimens ASB-94-1 and ASB-215-2 is less easily attributable to any extant Colobini taxa (Figs 6A; 11B; Table 10). In addition, the linear discriminant analysis established on symphyseal dimensions showed that ASB-232-6 has a high phenetic affinity with the *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* clade (Fig. 8). This result is consistent with the dental linear discriminant analysis which demonstrated that ASB-232-6 is classified as a member of the *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* clade, notably by showing a relatively long  $M_3$  and a small  $P_4$  (Figs 5; 11B; Table 10). However, the classification of the corpus shape of ASB-232-6 is more ambiguous and not as clear-cut as that of the symphysis. Similarities in classification results




FIG. 8. — Biplot of the LD1-LD2 scores of the LDA established on PC scores of the symphyseal dimensions. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.

TABLE 9. — Posterior probabilities of the Asbole specimens belonging to each extant Colobini genera based on corpus shape PCA scores.

|             |                          | <i>Colobus</i> | <i>Piliocolobus</i> | <i>Procolobus</i> |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| KNM-ER 6025 | <i>Colobus freedmani</i> | 25%            | 50%                 | 24%               |
| ASB-100-1   | <i>Colobus</i> sp.       | 80%            | 7%                  | 13%               |
| ASB-232-6   | indet.                   | 53%            | 23%                 | 24%               |
| ASB-25-6    |                          | 36%            | 42%                 | 21%               |
| ASB-254     |                          | 77%            | 9%                  | 14%               |
| ASB-259     |                          | 78%            | 11%                 | 10%               |
| ASB-80      |                          | 59%            | 13%                 | 28%               |

between dental dimensions and corpus shape is also observed for ASB-100-1, which is more similar to *Colobus* than to *Procolobus-Piliocolobus*. ASB-80 has a corpus like *Colobus* but a dentition more similar to *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* (Table 10). Classification results for the corpus shape indicate that ASB-259 and ASB-254 are more like *Colobus* while ASB-25-6 is more similar to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus*. As for ASB-232-6 (Fig. 11B), the specimen ASB-25-6 has a relatively long  $M_3$  and a small  $P_4$  similar to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus*, supporting its greater phenetic affinity with *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus*. The *Colobus*-like corpus of ASB-259 is also consistent with its relatively large  $P_4$  and short  $M_3$ , features more consistent with an allocation to *Colobus* than to *Piliocolobus* or *Procolobus*. Although ASB-232-6 and ASB-25-6 correspond well to representatives of the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade for most of the traits studied, they still lack the median mental foramen typical of *Procolobus*, and for ASB-232-6, the elongated canine typical of *Procolobus*, hence supporting an assignment to *Piliocolobus*.




FIG. 9. — Biplot of the PC1-PC2 scores of the PCA established on aligned Procrustes coordinates of the corpus on the left panel. Shape changes associated with PC scores are given at the extrema of each PC axis. On the left panel, a biplot of the LD1-LD2 scores of the LDA established on PC scores of the corpus shape is given. On the lower panel, the mean shape of extant Colobini is given next to the corpus outline of fossil colobines discussed in the text. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.

TABLE 10. — Summary table of the phenetic affinities of the considered Koobi Fora and Asbole colobines given each analysis. The rationale for assigning a specimen to a particular taxon is based on the absolute difference between the quantitative value of the specimen to the mean value of *Colobus* or *Piliocolobus*/*Procolobus*. Abbreviations: **C**, *Colobus*-like; **P**, *Piliocolobus*-like.

|            |                                             | KNM-ER 3065 | ASB-100-1 | ASB-232-6 | ASB-25-6 | ASB-254 | ASB-259 | ASB-80 | ASB-215-2 | ASB-94-1 |
|------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Dental     | Relative lower canine elongation            | NA          | C         | P         | NA       | P       | NA      | C      | C         | NA       |
|            | P <sub>4</sub> area/M <sub>1</sub> area     | P           | C         | P         | P        | P       | C       | P      | P         | NA       |
|            | Relative length of the M <sub>3</sub>       | NA          | C         | P         | P        | NA      | P       | P      | NA        | NA       |
|            | LDA on dental dimensions                    | NA          | C         | P         | NA       | NA      | NA      | P      | NA        | NA       |
| Symphyseal | Breadth differential of the symphyseal tori | NA          | P         | P         | NA       | NA      | NA      | NA     | P         | P        |
|            | LDA on symphyseal dimensions                | NA          | C         | P         | NA       | NA      | NA      | NA     | C         | C        |
| Corpus     | LDA on corpus shape                         | P           | C         | C         | P        | C       | C       | C      | NA        | NA       |

## DISCUSSION

## A REASSESSMENT OF THE TAXONOMY

OF *COLOBUS FREEDMANI*

This study demonstrated that the relatively small  $P_4$  and the corpus shape of *Co. freedmani* KNM-ER 6025 resemble *Piliocolobus* and *Procolobus* more than *Colobus*, although the fossil specimen still falls within the range of variation of extant *Colobus*. The initial justification for including KNM-ER 6025 to *Colobus* is dubious, as no traits clearly supporting its inclusion are provided in the original description of Jablonski & Leakey (2008). Sexual dimorphism in the development of the lateral prominences was advocated to explain the differences observed between the holotype of *Co. freedmani* (i.e., the damaged female mandible KNM-ER 44224) with the partial male mandible KNM-ER 6025 (Jablonski & Leakey 2008). The development of the lateral prominences of the corpus is indeed sexually dimorphic in extant *Colobus* as shown on the PC2 scores of the corpus outline analysis, but a trend (non-significant) for sexually dimorphic lateral prominences is also observed for *Procolobus* versus, demonstrating that this dimorphic pattern in corpus shape is not unique to *Colobus*. It was further hypothesized that *Co. freedmani* was more sexually dimorphic than extant *Colobus* in corpus shape to accommodate KNM-ER 6025 in the hypodigm of *Co. freedmani*. Unfortunately, no adequately preserved *Colobus* female specimens from Koobi Fora could have been integrated in our morphometric analysis to fully test this hypothesis. Moreover, assessing a level of dimorphism in a fossil population requires an extensive fossil sample and the Koobi Fora sample is currently too limited to test this hypothesis.

KNM-ER 6025 is an outlying specimen when compared to the range of variation of *Colobus* in corpus shape and its  $P_4$  area/ $M_1$  area ratio is also more consistent with that of *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* than with that of *Colobus*. Our data hence favor, on quantitative grounds, an attribution to the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade for KNM-ER 6025 rather than to a male *Co. freedmani*, supporting the initial hypothesis of Frost & Alemseged (2007). However, the hypothesis that two distinct taxa occur at Koobi Fora cannot be rejected given the low number of mandibular specimens available, with one taxon attributable to *Colobus* (the female mandible KNM-ER 44224) and another one to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* (KNM-ER 6025). The humerofemoral proportion (i.e., humeral length over femoral length) of the partial female *Co. freedmani* skeleton KNM-ER 5896 is more akin to that of *Colobus* than to that of *Piliocolobus-Procolobus*, highlighting the ambiguity of the taxonomic homogeneity of the small colobines from the Okote - KBS members of Koobi Fora (Pallas, in prep.). Future discoveries of small-sized colobines in the Okote, KBS, and Chari members of Koobi Fora or in penecontemporaneous fossil deposits of the Turkana Basin will be of prime value on this aspect. A closer look at postcranial traits distinguishing *Piliocolobus* from *Colobus* will also add more evidence to answer this question.




FIG. 10. — Boxplots of PC1 scores on the upper panel and PC2 scores on the lower panel of corpus shape per sex and genus. All boxplots with median (black bar), outliers (black dot), first quartile and third quartile. Illustrations: Laurent Pallas.




FIG. 11. — **A**, Dendrogram showing the results of the hierarchical clustering for the analysis taking into account Asbole specimens as a single OTU (**upper dendrogram**), taking into account dental, symphyseal, and corpus PCA scores of extant Colobini and the fossil ASB-232-6 and ASB-100-1 (**middle dendrogram**), and taking into account dental and corpus PCA scores of extant Colobini and the fossil ASB-232-6, ASB-100-1, and ASB-80; **B**, photographs and 3D generated surfaces of ASB-232-6 and ASB-100-1. ASB-232-6 is compared with *Piliocolobus* Rochebrune, 1877 and ASB-100-1 is compared with *Colobus* Illiger, 1811 on four traits: 1, symphyseal outlines of fossil specimens compared with *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*; 2, outlines of P<sub>4</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> of fossil specimens compared with *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*; 3, corpus outlines of fossil specimens compared with *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*; 4, outlines of M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>3</sub> of fossil specimens compared with *Piliocolobus* and *Colobus*. Scale bars: 10 mm. Illustration and photos: Laurent Pallas.

#### A REASSESSMENT OF THE TAXONOMY OF *COLOBUS* FROM ASBOLE

Morphological similarities between the Asbole colobine specimens ASB-232-6 and ASB-256 with *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* and between ASB-100-1 and ASB-259 with *Colobus*, support their taxonomic allocation to the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade and the *Colobus* clade, respectively.

Frost & Alemseged (2007) dismissed the attribution of *Piliocolobus* for the Asbole colobines based on the following traits : presence of infraorbital foramina of small diameters (foramina are larger in *Piliocolobus*), absence of maxillary fossae and ridges (present in *Piliocolobus*), gracile supraorbital tori (developed tori in male *Piliocolo-*

*bus*), low and broad choanae (narrower in *Piliocolobus*), lack of a sagittal crest (present in male *Piliocolobus*), lack of a perforated pterygoid fossa (perforated fossae in *Piliocolobus*), deep mandibular corpus with expanded gonial area (shallower corpus in *Piliocolobus*), and absence of a median mental foramen (present in *Procolobus* but absent in *Piliocolobus*). In contrast, mandibular specimens of Asbole align with *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* and *Colobus* based on dental, corpus and symphyseal shape. Specifically, ASB-232-6 and ASB-25-6 support the presence of a colobine more similar to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* at Asbole while ASB-100-1 and ASB-259 support the initial taxonomic hypothesis of Frost & Alemseged (2007). The bootstrap



analysis computed based on the PC scores of the corpus shape and superoinferior dimension of the corpus at mid- $M_1$  failed to demonstrate that the variation of the Asbole sample exceeds that of any extant Colobini genera, supporting the presence of a single taxon at Asbole. However, the combined dental, corpus, and symphysis evidence still provide support for the presence of a small colobine more like *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* than *Colobus*. Our data are also consistent with and complement those of Brasil *et al.* (2023) which demonstrated that the breadth-length proportions of the  $M_2$  of the Asbole sample is more similar to *Piliocolobus* and that the length of the  $P_4$  is greatly reduced compared to the length of the  $M_1$ , a result consistent with our  $P_4$  area/ $M_1$  area ratio. The hierarchical clustering based on PC scores of dental, symphysis, and corpus analyses also showed that ASB-232-6 has phenetic similarities with *Piliocolobus*.

To accommodate the observations of Frost & Alemseged (2007) on the cranial and maxillary specimens from Asbole and to account for the phenetic affinity of several mandibular specimens with *Colobus* (e.g., ASB 100-1), this study partly supports the hypothesis that two taxa occur at Asbole with affinities to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* on one side and *Colobus* on the other side. Given that the present study is limited to mandibular remains, these taxa are not named, but it supports an inclusion of ASB-232-6 and ASB-25-6 to cf. *Piliocolobus* sp. indet., as previously suggested (Alemseged & Geraads 2000), but their precise taxonomic designation awaits additional postcranial and cranial quantitative evidence. Unfortunately, the association of the female maxilla ASB-204A and mandible ASB-204B as well as the male maxilla and mandible ASB-207-1A and ASB-207-1B is not clearly asserted in Frost & Alemseged (2007) and most of the specimens presented in the initial study are unprepared, leaving several anatomical characteristics unknown. Future discoveries of securely associated cranial and mandibular elements will provide decisive insights into the taxonomic conundrum of the Asbole colobines.

Conclusively, the recognition of a fossil taxon similar to *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* in mandibular anatomy in Asbole is consistent with our conclusion regarding the KNM-ER 6025 mandible from Koobi Fora. The Colobini taxonomic diversity highlighted here at Asbole and Koobi Fora has implications on our understanding of the emergence of extant African colobine genera.

#### THE EMERGENCE OF EXTANT AFRICAN COLOBINE GENERA IN THE FOSSIL RECORD

It has been suggested that the *Colobus* sample from Asbole was ancestral to that from Faro Daba, implying population continuity between the c. 600 Ka *Colobus* population from Asbole and the c. 100 Ka *Colobus* population from Faro Daba (Brasil *et al.* 2023). Brasil *et al.* (2023) showed that the marked sexual dimorphism of the Asbole colobines in canine dimensions was inconsistent with that of the *Colobus* cf. *guereza* from Faro Daba and from that of extant *Co.*

*guereza* species, hence suggesting that sexual dimorphism in canine dimensions was marked in the stem *Co. guereza* lineage represented at Asbole. However the identification of specimens more similar to *Procolobus-Piliocolobus* than *Colobus* at Asbole does not support population continuity at the colobine community level as no *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* specimens are recorded at Faro Daba. However, *Colobus* specimens sampled at Asbole (ASB-100-1 and ASB-259) could be part of the *Co. guereza* lineage and should be part of further analysis and comparison with *Co. guereza*.

Extant eastern African *Piliocolobus* species are considered as relictual populations and currently confined to limited geographical ranges in Kenya, Zanzibar and Tanzania (Cardini & Elton 2009b). The identification of c. 1.5 Ma and c. 600 Ka Ma mandibles similar to *Piliocolobus* at Asbole and Koobi Fora demonstrate that the geographical range of *Piliocolobus* could once have been more expansive and extended into northern Ethiopia. Moreover, the bootstrap analysis established on corpus shape did not provide evidence for a distinct taxonomic designation between the Koobi Fora mandible KNM-ER 6065 and the Asbole sample, at least at the generic level. Future studies should investigate whether the specimens similar to *Piliocolobus* from Asbole and Koobi Fora represent extant *Piliocolobus* species, notably *Pi. gordonorum* Matschie, 1900, *Pi. rufomitrat* Peters, 1879 or *Pi. kirkii* Gray, 1868, and alternatively a new species of *Piliocolobus*.

A large hiatus is present in the fossil record of extant Colobini. According to molecular data, *Colobus* should be present in c. 8 Ma fossil deposits and members of the *Piliocolobus-Procolobus* clade should be sampled after c. 6 Ma. Prior to *Co. freedmani* (c. 1.5 Ma), there is no consensus regarding the identification of fossil Colobini. At species level, the western African *Colobus satanas* diverged molecularly from other *Colobus* species at c. 3.5 Ma. Molecular divergence dates between extant *Piliocolobus* species are more problematic but eastern African *Piliocolobus* (i.e., *Pi. rufomitrat*, *Pi. gordonorum*, and *Pi. kirkii*) are part of the same clade which diverged from a central and western African clade at c. 3.5 Ma. Considering putative temporal lag between morphological speciation and molecular speciation, we should expect to identify *Colobus* and *Piliocolobus* specimens in eastern Africa after 3.5 Ma. A reevaluation of fossil specimens similar in size to extant Colobini after 3.5 Ma is needed to test whether *Colobus* and *Piliocolobus* are novel eastern African immigrants that appeared during the Pleistocene, as suggested by molecular data, or if older lineages could be identified in the eastern African fossil record. Considering that Colobini are forest-dependent taxa, extension of the geographical ranges of Colobini in the fossil record should also be correlated to paleoenvironmental changes and should hence track extension and contraction of forest covers along the East African Rift system. The identification of extant Colobini in the fossil record and the reevaluation of the small colobine fossil record has hence strong paleoenvironmental and evolutionary implications.

## Acknowledgements

I greatly acknowledge the Ethiopian Heritage Authority at the National Museum of Ethiopia (NME) and Prof. Zerese-nay Alemseged for granting access to the Asbole specimens. I am grateful to Sahle Melaku and Getahun Tekle for help and assistance at the NME and Dawit Seifu Hailemariam for preparation of the mandibles from Asbole. I am indebted to the National Museum of Kenya and specifically Tom Mukhuyu, Erus Justus and Jimmy Yatitch for help and assistance. I deeply especially acknowledge the support of curators and staff of the National Museums of Kenya (Osteology Division, Nairobi), the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Dr Emmanuel Gilissen and Mathys Rotonda from the Division of Mammals, Tervuren), the Center for the Evolutionary Origins of Human Behavior (Assoc. Pro. Takeshi Nishimura the Division of Mammals), the US National Museum of Natural History (D. Schlitter, Division of Mammals), and the Bavarian State Collection for Zoology (Dr Anneke van Heteren and Michael Hiermeier) for the study of skeletal collections under their care. I also thank the NSF BCS 1552848 attributed to Dr D. Boyer, and Assoc. Prof. Claire Terhune (University of Arkansas) for data sharing via the MorphoSource platform. I thank the Groupement de Recherche (GDR) Rift for financial assistance and the attribution of a scholarship that permitted to undertake this study. At last, Dr Brenda Benefit (New Mexico State University, Las Cruces) and an anonymous referee are also thanked for their remarks on a previous version of the article.

## REFERENCES

- ADAMS D., COLLYER M., KALIONTZOPOULOU A. & BAKEN E. K. 2024. — *Geomorph: Software for Geometric Morphometric Analyses*. R package version 4.0.8.
- ALEMSEGED Z. & GERAADS D. 2000. — A new Middle Pleistocene fauna from the Busidima-Telalak region of the Afar, Ethiopia. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Series IIA, Earth and Planetary Science* 331: 549-556. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1251-8050\(00\)01450-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1251-8050(00)01450-6)
- ASSEFA Z., YIRGA S. & REED K. E. 2008. — The large-mammal fauna from the Kibish Formation. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55: 501-512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.05.015>
- BRASIL M. F., MONSON T. A., TAYLOR C. E., YOHLER R. M. & HLUSKO L. J. 2023. — Hundreds of *Colobus* (Cercopithecidae: Primates) fossils from the later Pleistocene of Ethiopia's Middle Awash study area. *American Journal of Biological Anthropology* 180: 77-114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.24639>
- BUGIR C. K., BUTYNSKI T. M. & HAYWARD M. W. 2021. — Prey preferences of the chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*). *Ecology and Evolution* 11: 7138-7146. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7633>
- CARDINI A. & ELTON S. 2009a. — Geographical and taxonomic influences on cranial variation in red colobus monkeys (Primates, Colobinae): introducing a new approach to 'morph' monkeys. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 18: 248-263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2008.00432.x>
- CARDINI A. & ELTON S. 2009b. — The radiation of red colobus monkeys (Primates, Colobinae): morphological evolution in a clade of endangered African primates. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 157: 197-224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00508.x>
- DAEGLING D. J. & MCGRAW S. W. 2001. — Feeding, diet, and jaw form in west african *Colobus* and *Procolobus*. *International Journal of Primatology* 22: 1033-1055. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012021823076>
- DUNHAM N. T., KANE E. E. & MCGRAW W. S. 2015. — Scapular Morphology and Forelimb Use during Foraging in Four Sympatric Cercopithecids. *Folia Primatologica* 86: 474-489. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000442285>
- ECK G. 1977. — Diversity and frequency distribution of omo group cercopithecoidea. *Journal of Human Evolution* 6: 55-63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2484\(77\)80041-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2484(77)80041-9)
- FROST S. R. 2001. — *Fossil Cercopithecidae of the Afar Depression, Ethiopia: species systematics and comparison to the Turkana Basin*. PhD thesis, City University of New York.
- FROST S. R. & ALEMSEGED Z. 2007. — Middle Pleistocene fossil Cercopithecidae from Asbole, Afar Region, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 53: 227-259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.02.003>
- GROVES C. P. 2007. — The taxonomic diversity of the Colobinae of Africa. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 85: 7-34
- HARRISON T. & HARRIS E. E. 1996. — Plio-Pleistocene cercopithecids from Kanam East, western Kenya. *Journal of Human Evolution* 30: 539-561. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jhevol.1996.0042>
- HLUSKO L. J., SCHMITT C. A., MONSON T. A., BRASIL M. F. & MAHANEY M. C. 2016. — The integration of quantitative genetics, paleontology, and neontology reveals genetic underpinnings of primate dental evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 33: 9262-9267. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605901113>
- JABLONSKI N. G. & LEAKEY M. G. 2008. — Systematic paleontology of the small colobines, in JABLONSKI N. G. & LEAKEY M. G. (eds), *Koobi Fora Research Project*. Vol. 6. *The Fossil Monkeys*. California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco: 103-300.
- JABLONSKI N. G. & FROST S. R. 2010. — Cercopithecoidea, in WERDELIN L. & SANDERS J. W. (eds), *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of California Press, Berkeley: 393-428.
- KANE E. E. & MCGRAW W. S. 2018. — Effects of chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) hunting seasonality and Red Colobus (*Piliocolobus badius*) association on Diana Monkeys (*Cercopithecus diana*) in Tai National Park, Côte d'Ivoire. *International Journal of Primatology* 39: 532-546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-018-0050-x>
- KASSAMBARA A. 2023. — *Rstatix: cadre convivial pour les tests statistiques de base*. R package version 0.7.2.
- KINGDON J. & GROVES C. P. 2013. — Subfamily Colobinae – Colobines: Colobus Monkeys, in BUTYNSKI T., KINGDON J. & KALINA J. (eds), *Mammals of Africa*. Vol. 2. *Primates*, Bloomsbury Publishing, London: 93-95.
- KOYABU D. B. & ENDO H. 2009. — Craniofacial variation and dietary adaptations of African colobines. *Journal of Human Evolution* 56: 525-536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.12.009>
- KUDERNA L. F. K., GAO H., JANIAK M. C. et al. 2023. — A global catalog of whole-genome diversity from 233 primate species. *Science* 380: 906-913. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn7829>
- LEAKEY M. G. 1987. — Colobinae (Mammalia, Primates) from the Omo Valley, Ethiopia in COPPENS Y. & CLARK HOWELL F. (eds), *Les faunes Plio-Pléistocènes de la basse vallée de l'Omo (Éthiopie)*. Tome 3. *Cercopithecidae de la formation de Shungura*. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris: 149-169. <https://sciencepress.mnhn.fr/fr/collections/cahiers-de-paleontologie/les-faunes-plio-pleistocenes-de-la-basse-vallee-de-l-omo-ethiopie>
- MATSUDA I., HIGASHI S., OTANI Y., TUUGA A., BERNARD H. & CORLETT R. T. 2013. — A short note on seed dispersal by colobines: the case of the proboscis monkey. *Integrative Zoology* 8: 395-399. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12033>
- MCBREARTY S. & JABLONSKI N. G. 2005. — First fossil chimpanzee. *Nature* 437: 105-108. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04008>
- MCGRAW W. S. 1998. — Comparative locomotion and habitat use of six monkeys in the Tai Forest, Ivory Coast. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 105: 493-510. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-8644\(199804\)105:4<493::AID-AJPA7>3.0.CO;2-P](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199804)105:4<493::AID-AJPA7>3.0.CO;2-P)

- MOSIMANN J. E. 1970. — Size Allometry: Size and Shape Variables with Characterizations of the Lognormal and Generalized Gamma Distributions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 65: 930-945. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1970.10481136>
- OGLE D., DOLL J., WHEELER A. & DINNO A. 2023. — *FSA: Simple Fisheries Stock Assessment Methods*. R package version 0.9.5.
- OKSANEN J., SIMPSON G. L., BLANCHET F. G. *et al.* 2001. — *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.6-8.
- PALLAS L., DAVER G., MACKAYE H. T., LIKIUS A., VIGNAUD P. & GUY F. 2019. — A window into the early evolutionary history of Cercopithecidae: Late Miocene evidence from Chad, Central Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 132: 61-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.03.013>
- PALLAS L., NAKATSUKASA M. & KUNIMATSU Y. 2024a. — Anatomy of the mandibular symphysis of extant cercopithecids: taxonomy and variation. *Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.587451>
- PALLAS L., NAKATSUKASA M. & KUNIMATSU Y. 2024b. — Anatomy of the mandibular corpus of extant cercopithecids: taxonomy and variation. *Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.31.587458>
- PERELMAN P., JOHNSON W. E., ROOS C. *et al.* 2011. — A molecular phylogeny of living primates. *PLoS Genetic* 7:e1001342. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001342>
- R CORE TEAM 2024. — *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.
- ROHLF F. J. 2006. — *tpsDig, Digitize Landmarks and Outlines*.
- RSTUDIO TEAM 2020. — *RStudio: Integrated Development for R*.
- SCHNEIDER C. A., RASBAND W. S. & ELICEIRI K. W. 2012. — NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9: 671-675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>
- SCHULTZ A. 1958. — Cranial and dental variability in *Colobus* monkeys. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 130 (1): 79-105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1958.tb00564.x>
- SIMONS E. L. 1967. — A fossil *Colobus* skull from the Sudan. *Postilla* 111: 1-12.
- STRASSER E. 1992. — Hindlimb proportions, allometry, and biomechanics in Old World monkeys (Primates, Cercopithecidae). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 87: 187-213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330870207>
- STOFFEL M. A., NAKAGAWA S. & SCHIELZETH, H. 2017. — rptR: Repeatability estimation and variance decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 11: 1639-1644. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12797>
- TING N. 2008. — Mitochondrial relationships and divergence dates of the African colobines: evidence of Miocene origins for the living colobus monkeys. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55: 312-325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.02.011>
- VENABLES W. N. & RIPLEY B. D. 1997. — *Modern Applied Statistics with S-PLUS*. Springer New York, New York, 481 p.
- VERHEYEN W. 1962. — Contribution à la craniologie comparée des primates: les genres *Colobus* Illiger 1811 et *Cercopithecus* Linné 1758. *Annal Koninklijk Museum voor Midden-afrika* 8: 1-255

Submitted on 6 December 2024;  
accepted on 13 October 2025;  
published on 20 July 2026.



APPENDIX 1. — List of the extant and fossil specimens used in this study.

| Genus/Acc. n°          | Genus/Acc. n°                      | Genus/Acc. n°                 | Genus/Acc. n°                 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Dental analysis</b> | <b>Dental analysis,</b>            | <b>Corpus depth analysis</b>  | <b>Corpus depth analysis</b>  |
| <i>Colobus</i>         | <i>Piliocolobus</i> (continuation) | <i>Colobus</i> (continuation) | <i>Colobus</i> (continuation) |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2465     | KUPRI 10123#518                    | RMCA 28110                    | RMCA 8417                     |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2469     | KUPRI 10122#517                    | RMCA 28106                    | RMCA 8418                     |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2475     | KUPRI 10109#504                    | RMCA 28789                    | RMCA 8443                     |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2477     | KUPRI 10115#510                    | RMCA 28790                    | RMCA 11164                    |
| SNSB-ZSM 1912 1549     | KUPRI 10110#505                    | RMCA 33621                    | RMCA M2                       |
| SNSB-ZSM 1951 263      | KUPRI 10112#505                    | RMCA 987                      | MNHN-ZM-AC-1954-54            |
| SNSB-ZSM AM1269        | KUPRI 10067#462                    | RMCA 1041                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-702           |
| SNSB-ZSM AM1270        | KUPRI 10183#4786                   | RMCA 1241                     | MNHN-ZM-2009-358              |
| SNSB-ZSM 1904 1542     | KUPRI 10070#465                    | RMCA 1231                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-450           |
| SNSB-ZSM 1904 1543     | KUPRI 10184#4787                   | RMCA 1838                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-2102          |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2470     | KUPRI 10082#477                    | RMCA 1839                     | MNHN-ZM-2009-346              |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2471     | KUPRI 10052#447                    | RMCA 2221                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-701           |
| SNSB-ZSM 1911 2476     | KUPRI 10001#396                    | RMCA 6000                     | MNHN-ZM-2009-308              |
| SNSB-ZSM 1913 1168     | KUPRI 10095#490                    | RMCA 8317                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1961-411           |
| SNSB-ZSM 1914 839      | KUPRI 10046#441                    | RMCA 8319                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1958-710           |
| SNSB-ZSM 1914 847      | KUPRI 10188#4791                   | RMCA 8352                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1992-320           |
| SNSB-ZSM 1904 1541     | MNHN-ZM-MO-2002-103                | RMCA 8528                     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1961-1017          |
| SNSB-ZSM 1900 573      | MNHN-ZM-MO-1895-9                  | RMCA 8609                     | MNHN-ZM-2009-350              |
| SNSB-ZSM 1909 P1       | MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-705                | RMCA 10226                    | MNHN-ZM-2009-312              |
| SNSB-ZSM 1904 1544     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1908-45BIS              | RMCA 37593                    | SNSB-ZSM 1914                 |
| MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-97     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-706                | RMCA 8310                     | MNHN 19641530                 |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1885-891    | MNHN-ZM-2009-288                   | RMCA 14671                    | MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-97            |
| MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-98     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1890-193                | RMCA 19062                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1885-891           |
| MNHN-ZM-2009-359       | MNHN-ZM-MO-1961-114                | RMCA 20437                    | MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-98            |
| MNHN-ZM-AC-1954-54     | MNHN-ZM-MO-1884-1428               | RMCA 28398                    | MNHN-ZM-2009-359              |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-702    |                                    | RMCA 37590                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-1390          |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-1390   |                                    | RMCA M6                       | MNHN-ZM-MO-1908-43            |
| MNHN-ZM-2009-358       |                                    | RMCA 9912                     |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-450    |                                    | RMCA 2147                     |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-2102   |                                    | RMCA 2202                     |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-2009-346       |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-389           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1939-701    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-379           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1908-43     |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-382           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-60     |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-384           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-59     |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-380           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1890-194    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-1398          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1893-5      |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-387           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-123    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-386           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1897-1505   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-383           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-389    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-378           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-379    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1902-1318          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-382    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-37            |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-384    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1908-191           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-AC-1897-132    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1972-350           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-380    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1972-348           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-1398   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-AC-1897-132           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-387    |                                    | RMCA 2800                     |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1969-386    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1964-1517          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-AC-1983-37     |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1010          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1908-191    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1904-1960          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1964-1517   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1963-294           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1010   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1009          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1904-1960   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1011          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1963-294    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-986           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1009   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-2009-345              |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1011   |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-396           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1962-986    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1886-108           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-2009-345       |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1964-1514          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1970-396    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1974-133           |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1886-108    |                                    | MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1007          |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1964-1514   |                                    |                               |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1974-133    |                                    |                               |                               |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1892-1007   |                                    |                               |                               |
|                        |                                    |                               |                               |
| <i>Piliocolobus</i>    |                                    |                               |                               |
| KUPRI 10129#524        |                                    |                               |                               |
| KUPRI 10127#524        |                                    |                               |                               |
| KUPRI 10125#520        |                                    |                               |                               |
| KUPRI 10124#519        |                                    |                               |                               |



## Appendix 1. — Continuation.

| Genus/Acc. n°                      | Genus/Acc. n°                 | Genus/Acc. n°                 | Genus/Acc. n°                    |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Corpus depth analysis</b>       | <b>Corpus shape analysis</b>  | <b>Corpus shape analysis</b>  | <b>Corpus shape analysis</b>     |
| <i>Piliocolobus</i> (continuation) | <i>Colobus</i> (continuation) | <i>Colobus</i> (continuation) | <i>Procolobus</i> (continuation) |
| RMCA 0020                          | OM 3059                       | RMCA 8107M96                  | KUPRI 392                        |
| RMCA 0024                          | OM 3079                       | RMCA 8107M99                  | KUPRI 393                        |
| RMCA 0032                          | OM 3085                       | RMCA 84037M38                 | KUPRI 394                        |
| RMCA 0033                          | OM 3097                       | USNM 477321                   | KUPRI 395                        |
| RMCA 0037                          | OM 3125                       | USNM 481784                   | RMCA 02M66                       |
| RMCA 0045                          | KUPRI 28851                   | USNM 481785                   | RMCA 02M69                       |
| MNHN-ZM-MO-1961-114                | KUPRI 28852                   | USNM 481786                   | RMCA 84073 M176                  |
| RMCA 22108                         | KUPRI 28853                   | SNSB-ZSM 1914263              | USNM 477327                      |
| RMCA 26445                         | KUPRI 28856                   |                               | USNM 477331                      |
| RMCA 9516                          | KUPRI 28857                   | <i>Piliocolobus</i>           |                                  |
| RMCA 9517                          | KUPRI 28859                   | AMNH ED5                      |                                  |
| RMCA 9520                          | KUPRI 31491                   | KAS 175                       |                                  |
| RMCA 10288                         | KUPRI 35126                   | KUPRI 396                     |                                  |
| RMCA 10291                         | KUPRI 1046                    | KUPRI 441                     |                                  |
| RMCA 10549                         | KUPRI 1053                    | KUPRI 447                     |                                  |
| RMCA 10553                         | KUPRI 1067                    | KUPRI 454                     |                                  |
| RMCA 10604                         | KUPRI 1071                    | KUPRI 456                     |                                  |
| RMCA 10605                         | KUPRI 1078                    | KUPRI 458                     |                                  |
| RMCA 12789                         | KUPRI 1085                    | KUPRI 462                     |                                  |
| RMCA 12790                         | KUPRI 1086                    | KUPRI 464                     |                                  |
| RMCA 13339                         | KUPRI 1087                    | KUPRI 465                     |                                  |
|                                    | KUPRI 1103                    | KUPRI 477                     |                                  |
| <i>Procolobus</i>                  | KUPRI 1105                    | KUPRI 4786                    |                                  |
| KUPRI 354                          | KUPRI 1115                    | KUPRI 4787                    |                                  |
| KUPRI 372                          | KUPRI 1118                    | KUPRI 4791                    |                                  |
| KUPRI 373                          | KUPRI 1121                    | KUPRI 490                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 374                          | KUPRI 1127                    | KUPRI 500                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 375                          | KUPRI 1135                    | KUPRI 507                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 377                          | KUPRI 1136                    | KUPRI 518                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 378                          | KUPRI 1137                    | KUPRI 520                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 381                          | MCZ 22624                     | KUPRI 522                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 383                          | MCZ 22629                     | KUPRI 524                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 388                          | RMCA 28850                    | MCZ 24080                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 390                          | RMCA 35124                    | MCZ 24775                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 391                          | RMCA 7313M234                 | MCZ 25627                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 392                          | RMCA 8107M100                 | MCZ 25810                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 393                          | RMCA 8107M101                 | MCZ 26552                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 394                          | RMCA 8107M102                 | MCZ 26553                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 396                          | RMCA 8107M103                 | MCZ 27108                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 454                          | RMCA 8107M105                 | MCZ 31939                     |                                  |
| USNM 477327                        | RMCA 8107M106                 | RMCA 8107M97                  |                                  |
|                                    | RMCA 8107M107                 | RMCA 8107M98                  |                                  |
| <b>Corpus shape analysis</b>       | RMCA 8107M108                 | RMCA 91069                    |                                  |
| <i>Colobus</i>                     | RMCA 8107M110                 | USNM 378673                   |                                  |
| KAS 177                            | RMCA 8107M114                 | USNM 378674                   |                                  |
| RMCA 5643                          | RMCA 8107M118                 | USNM 481792                   |                                  |
| RMCA 8064                          | RMCA 8107M120                 | USNM 481795                   |                                  |
| RMCA 8130                          | RMCA 8107M126                 | USNM 452546                   |                                  |
| RMCA 9912                          | RMCA 8107M127                 | OM 4356                       |                                  |
| SBU RS 8110                        | RMCA 8107M128                 | KAS 176                       |                                  |
| SBU RS 8114                        | RMCA 8107M129                 | KAS 178                       |                                  |
| SBU RS 814                         | RMCA 8107M134                 | USNM 452644                   |                                  |
| SBU RS 833                         | RMCA 8107M144                 |                               |                                  |
| SBU RS 835                         | RMCA 8107M155                 | <i>Procolobus</i>             |                                  |
| AMNH 52209                         | RMCA 8107M156                 | AMNH 89439                    |                                  |
| AMNH 52210                         | RMCA 8107M159                 | KUPRI 354                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52213                         | RMCA 8107M164                 | KUPRI 357                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52215                         | RMCA 8107M166                 | KUPRI 372                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52223                         | RMCA 8107M171                 | KUPRI 373                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52237                         | RMCA 8107M172                 | KUPRI 374                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52238                         | RMCA 8107M87                  | KUPRI 375                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52241                         | RMCA 8107M88                  | KUPRI 377                     |                                  |
| AMNH 52248                         | RMCA 8107M89                  | KUPRI 378                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 11372                        | RMCA 8107M90                  | KUPRI 379                     |                                  |
| KUPRI 8673                         | RMCA 8107M91                  | KUPRI 379 2                   |                                  |
| OM 3042                            | RMCA 8107M92                  | KUPRI 381                     |                                  |
| OM 3044                            | RMCA 8107M93                  | KUPRI 383                     |                                  |
| OM 3045                            | RMCA 8107M94                  | KUPRI 388                     |                                  |
| OM 3046                            | RMCA 8107M95                  | KUPRI 390                     |                                  |
| OM 3053                            |                               | KUPRI 391                     |                                  |


Appendix 1. — Continuation.

| Genus/Acc. n°             | Genus/Acc. n°             |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Symphysis analysis</b> | <b>Symphysis analysis</b> |
| <i>Colobus</i>            | <i>Piliocolobus</i>       |
| MCZ 21151                 | AMNH ED5                  |
| MCZ 22624                 | MCZ 24080                 |
| MCZ 22626                 | MCZ 24775                 |
| MCZ 22629                 | MCZ 25627                 |
| MCZ 463680                | MCZ 25810                 |
| RMCA 7313M234             | MCZ 26552                 |
| RMCA 28851                | MCZ 26553                 |
| RMCA 28852                | MCZ 27108                 |
| RMCA 28853                | RMCA 91-060-M-0069        |
| RMCA 28856                | USNM 378673               |
| RMCA 28857                | USNM 378674               |
| RMCA 28859                | USNM 481792               |
| RMCA 31491                | USNM 481795               |
| RMCA 35126                | KAS175                    |
| KUPRI 1046                | KUPRI 454                 |
| KUPRI 1067                | KUPRI 456                 |
| KUPRI 1071                | KUPRI 458                 |
| KUPRI 1085                | KUPRI 464                 |
| KUPRI 1087                | KUPRI 500                 |
| KUPRI 1103                | KUPRI 507                 |
| KUPRI 1105                | KUPRI 518                 |
| KUPRI 1121                | KUPRI 520                 |
| KUPRI 1127                | KUPRI 522                 |
| KUPRI 1053                | KUPRI 524                 |
| KUPRI 1078                | KUPRI 396                 |
| KUPRI 1086                | KUPRI 441                 |
| KUPRI 1115                | KUPRI 447                 |
| KUPRI 1118                | KUPRI 462                 |
| KUPRI 1135                | KUPRI 465                 |
| KUPRI 1136                | KUPRI 477                 |
| KUPRI 1137                | KUPRI 490                 |
| RMCA 5643                 | KUPRI 4786                |
| RMCA 8064                 | KUPRI 4787                |
| RMCA 8130                 | KUPRI 4791                |
| RMCA 9912                 | USNM 452646               |
| SBU-RS81-4                | USNM 452644               |
| SBU-RS83-3                | OM 3046                   |
| SBU-RS83-5                | KAS 178                   |
| SBU-RS81-10               |                           |
| SBU-RS81-14               | <i>Procolobus</i>         |
| KAS 177                   | AMNH 89438                |
| AMNH 52209                | AMNH 89439                |
| AMNH 52210                | RMCA86-002-M-0066         |
| AMNH 52223                | RMCA86-002-M-0069         |
| AMNH 52237                | RMCA84-037-M-0176         |
| AMNH 52238                | USNM 477327               |
| AMNH 52241                | KUPRI 354                 |
| AMNH 52245                | KUPRI 378                 |
| OM 3042                   | KUPRI 381                 |
| OM 3044                   | KUPRI 383                 |
| OM 3045                   | KUPRI 388                 |
| OM 3085                   | KUPRI 390                 |
| OM 3097                   | KUPRI 391                 |
| OM 3053                   | KUPRI 392                 |
| OM 3125                   | KUPRI 372                 |
| KUPRI 11372               | KUPRI 373                 |
| KUPRI 8673                | KUPRI 374                 |
| SNSB-ZSM 1914263          | KUPRI 375                 |
|                           | KUPRI 379                 |
|                           | KUPRI 377                 |
|                           | KUPRI 393                 |
|                           | KUPRI 394                 |
|                           | KUPRI 395                 |

APPENDIX 2. — Raw data and result of the replicability study of the morphometric symphyseal protocol.

|                                        | (Mean error-Mean)% |                     |                     |                     | STT   | (Mean error-Mean)%  |                     |                     |                     | ITT    | (Mean error-Mean)%  |                     |                     |                     | PL    | (Mean error-Mean)%  |                     |                     |                     | SA     | (Mean error-Mean)%  |        |       |        |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|
|                                        | SL                 | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) |       | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) |        | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) |       | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) | Diff (Abs-Mean val) |        | Diff (Abs-Mean val) |        |       |        |
| <i>Nasalis larvatus</i> 1 AMNH 103365  | 20.3               | 0.047               | 7.3                 | 0.01                | 7.64  | 0.022               | 11.43               | 0.083               | 55.81               | 0.595  | 55.81               | 0.595               | 55.81               | 0.595               | 55.81 | 0.595               | 55.81               | 0.595               | 55.81               | 0.595  | 55.81               | 0.595  | 55.81 | 0.595  |
|                                        | 19.87              | 0.383               | 7.29                | 0                   | 7.67  | 0.052               | 10.98               | 0.533               | 56.73               | 1.515  | 56.73               | 1.515               | 56.73               | 1.515               | 56.73 | 1.515               | 56.73               | 1.515               | 56.73               | 1.515  | 56.73               | 1.515  | 56.73 | 1.515  |
|                                        | 19.85              | 0.403               | 7.29                | 0                   | 7.62  | 0.002               | 11.19               | 0.323               | 56.12               | 0.905  | 56.12               | 0.905               | 56.12               | 0.905               | 56.12 | 0.905               | 56.12               | 0.905               | 56.12               | 0.905  | 56.12               | 0.905  | 56.12 | 0.905  |
|                                        | 20.43              | 0.177               | 7.28                | 0.01                | 7.59  | 0.028               | 11.77               | 0.257               | 55.23               | 0.015  | 55.23               | 0.015               | 55.23               | 0.015               | 55.23 | 0.015               | 55.23               | 0.015               | 55.23               | 0.015  | 55.23               | 0.015  | 55.23 | 0.015  |
|                                        | 19.83              | 0.423               | 7.26                | 0.03                | 7.75  | 0.132               | 11.14               | 0.373               | 56.46               | 1.245  | 56.46               | 1.245               | 56.46               | 1.245               | 56.46 | 1.245               | 56.46               | 1.245               | 56.46               | 1.245  | 56.46               | 1.245  | 56.46 | 1.245  |
|                                        | 21.24              | 0.987               | 7.32                | 0.03                | 7.44  | 0.178               | 12.57               | 1.057               | 50.94               | 4.275  | 50.94               | 4.275               | 50.94               | 4.275               | 50.94 | 4.275               | 50.94               | 4.275               | 50.94               | 4.275  | 50.94               | 4.275  | 50.94 | 4.275  |
|                                        | Mean               | 20.25               | 0.403               | 7.29                | 0.013 | 7.618               | 0.069               | 11.513              | 0.438               | 55.215 | 1.425               | 55.215              | 1.425               | 55.215              | 1.425 | 55.215              | 1.425               | 55.215              | 1.425               | 55.215 | 1.425               | 55.215 | 1.425 | 55.215 |
| <i>Colobus satanas</i> "MNHN 1914 263" | 22.25              | 0.223               | 9.86                | 0.327               | 5.14  | 0.027               | 12.9                | 0.24                | 47.09               | 0.403  | 47.09               | 0.403               | 47.09               | 0.403               | 47.09 | 0.403               | 47.09               | 0.403               | 47.09               | 0.403  | 47.09               | 0.403  | 47.09 | 0.403  |
|                                        | 21.82              | 0.207               | 9.94                | 0.407               | 5.18  | 0.013               | 12.39               | 0.27                | 48.54               | 1.853  | 48.54               | 1.853               | 48.54               | 1.853               | 48.54 | 1.853               | 48.54               | 1.853               | 48.54               | 1.853  | 48.54               | 1.853  | 48.54 | 1.853  |
|                                        | 21.71              | 0.317               | 9.97                | 0.437               | 5.24  | 0.073               | 12.13               | 0.53                | 48.75               | 2.063  | 48.75               | 2.063               | 48.75               | 2.063               | 48.75 | 2.063               | 48.75               | 2.063               | 48.75               | 2.063  | 48.75               | 2.063  | 48.75 | 2.063  |
|                                        | 22.03              | 0.003               | 9.89                | 0.357               | 5.13  | 0.037               | 12.77               | 0.11                | 46.86               | 0.173  | 46.86               | 0.173               | 46.86               | 0.173               | 46.86 | 0.173               | 46.86               | 0.173               | 46.86               | 0.173  | 46.86               | 0.173  | 46.86 | 0.173  |
|                                        | 21.69              | 0.337               | 9.93                | 0.397               | 5.19  | 0.023               | 12.19               | 0.47                | 48.3                | 1.613  | 48.3                | 1.613               | 48.3                | 1.613               | 48.3  | 1.613               | 48.3                | 1.613               | 48.3                | 1.613  | 48.3                | 1.613  | 48.3  | 1.613  |
|                                        | 22.66              | 0.633               | 9.85                | 0.317               | 5.12  | 0.047               | 13.58               | 0.92                | 40.58               | 6.107  | 40.58               | 6.107               | 40.58               | 6.107               | 40.58 | 6.107               | 40.58               | 6.107               | 40.58               | 6.107  | 40.58               | 6.107  | 40.58 | 6.107  |
|                                        | Mean               | 22.03               | 0.287               | 9.533               | 0.374 | 5.167               | 0.037               | 12.66               | 0.423               | 46.687 | 2.362               | 46.687              | 2.362               | 46.687              | 2.362 | 46.687              | 2.362               | 46.687              | 2.362               | 46.687 | 2.362               | 46.687 | 2.362 | 46.687 |
| <i>Ptilocolobus badius</i> KUPRI 462   | 28.57              | 0.022               | 9.68                | 0.047               | 9.01  | 0.027               | 14.12               | 0.172               | 45.69               | 0.692  | 45.69               | 0.692               | 45.69               | 0.692               | 45.69 | 0.692               | 45.69               | 0.692               | 45.69               | 0.692  | 45.69               | 0.692  | 45.69 | 0.692  |
|                                        | 28.71              | 0.118               | 9.77                | 0.043               | 9.04  | 0.057               | 14.18               | 0.112               | 46.13               | 1.132  | 46.13               | 1.132               | 46.13               | 1.132               | 46.13 | 1.132               | 46.13               | 1.132               | 46.13               | 1.132  | 46.13               | 1.132  | 46.13 | 1.132  |
|                                        | 29.01              | 0.418               | 9.73                | 0.003               | 9     | 0.017               | 14.7                | 0.408               | 45.33               | 0.332  | 45.33               | 0.332               | 45.33               | 0.332               | 45.33 | 0.332               | 45.33               | 0.332               | 45.33               | 0.332  | 45.33               | 0.332  | 45.33 | 0.332  |
|                                        | 28.48              | 0.112               | 9.77                | 0.043               | 8.98  | 0.003               | 14.01               | 0.282               | 47.54               | 2.542  | 47.54               | 2.542               | 47.54               | 2.542               | 47.54 | 2.542               | 47.54               | 2.542               | 47.54               | 2.542  | 47.54               | 2.542  | 47.54 | 2.542  |
|                                        | 28.68              | 0.088               | 9.72                | 0.007               | 8.94  | 0.043               | 14.26               | 0.032               | 45.82               | 0.822  | 45.82               | 0.822               | 45.82               | 0.822               | 45.82 | 0.822               | 45.82               | 0.822               | 45.82               | 0.822  | 45.82               | 0.822  | 45.82 | 0.822  |
|                                        | 28.1               | 0.492               | 9.69                | 0.037               | 8.93  | 0.053               | 14.48               | 0.188               | 39.48               | 5.518  | 39.48               | 5.518               | 39.48               | 5.518               | 39.48 | 5.518               | 39.48               | 5.518               | 39.48               | 5.518  | 39.48               | 5.518  | 39.48 | 5.518  |
|                                        | Mean               | 28.59               | 0.208               | 9.727               | 0.03  | 8.983               | 0.033               | 14.292              | 0.199               | 44.998 | 1.839               | 44.998              | 1.839               | 44.998              | 1.839 | 44.998              | 1.839               | 44.998              | 1.839               | 44.998 | 1.839               | 44.998 | 1.839 | 44.998 |
| <i>Procolobus verus</i> KUPRI 388      | 19.93              | 0.202               | 6.79                | 0.09                | 4.67  | 0.032               | 10.28               | 0.322               | 50.4                | 1.667  | 50.4                | 1.667               | 50.4                | 1.667               | 50.4  | 1.667               | 50.4                | 1.667               | 50.4                | 1.667  | 50.4                | 1.667  | 50.4  | 1.667  |
|                                        | 20.27              | 0.138               | 6.8                 | 0.1                 | 4.7   | 0.002               | 10.7                | 0.0982              | 48.02               | 0.713  | 48.02               | 0.713               | 48.02               | 0.713               | 48.02 | 0.713               | 48.02               | 0.713               | 48.02               | 0.713  | 48.02               | 0.713  | 48.02 | 0.713  |
|                                        | 20.07              | 0.062               | 6.75                | 0.05                | 4.69  | 0.012               | 10.46               | 0.147               | 50.56               | 1.827  | 50.56               | 1.827               | 50.56               | 1.827               | 50.56 | 1.827               | 50.56               | 1.827               | 50.56               | 1.827  | 50.56               | 1.827  | 50.56 | 1.827  |
|                                        | 20.12              | 0.012               | 6.78                | 0.08                | 4.65  | 0.052               | 10.57               | 0.037               | 49.81               | 1.077  | 49.81               | 1.077               | 49.81               | 1.077               | 49.81 | 1.077               | 49.81               | 1.077               | 49.81               | 1.077  | 49.81               | 1.077  | 49.81 | 1.077  |
|                                        | 20.18              | 0.048               | 6.78                | 0.08                | 4.82  | 0.118               | 10.58               | 0.022               | 48.27               | 0.463  | 48.27               | 0.463               | 48.27               | 0.463               | 48.27 | 0.463               | 48.27               | 0.463               | 48.27               | 0.463  | 48.27               | 0.463  | 48.27 | 0.463  |
|                                        | 20.22              | 0.088               | 6.7                 | 0                   | 4.68  | 0.022               | 11.02               | 0.418               | 45.34               | 3.393  | 45.34               | 3.393               | 45.34               | 3.393               | 45.34 | 3.393               | 45.34               | 3.393               | 45.34               | 3.393  | 45.34               | 3.393  | 45.34 | 3.393  |
|                                        | Mean               | 20.13               | 0.092               | 6.767               | 0.067 | 4.702               | 0.039               | 10.602              | 0.172               | 48.733 | 1.523               | 48.733              | 1.523               | 48.733              | 1.523 | 48.733              | 1.523               | 48.733              | 1.523               | 48.733 | 1.523               | 48.733 | 1.523 | 48.733 |


APPENDIX 3. — Biplots of PC scores of the replicability study of the corpus shape 2D GM analysis.






## APPENDIX 4. — Distribution of coefficient of variation of extant Colobini species compared with that of the Asbole sample for PC scores of corpus shape

Distribution of coefficient of variation of extant Colobini species compared with that of the Asbole sample



Distribution of coefficient of variation of extant Colobini species compared with that of the Asbole and Koobi Fora sample



APPENDIX 5. — Distribution of coefficient of variation of extant Colobini species compared with that of the Asbole sample for PC scores of corpus depth at mid-M<sub>1</sub>

