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Abstract

Provenance studies of Corsican siliceous raw materials used during the Neolithic focused mainly on obsidian. They showed an almost systematic use of Monte Arci sources (Sardinia). Chert studies have long been dodged, whereas the multiplicity of potential origins, in Sardinia and in continental areas, may provide complementary spatial information about diffusion patterns and interaction phenomenon in the Middle Tyrrhenian during the Neolithic. We studied obsidian and chert industries of three Corsican sites: Renaghju (Early Neolithic), Monte Revincu and Vasculacciu (both Middle Neolithic). In order to assign a provenance, 2241 chert archaeological samples were characterized by petrographic approaches and 100 obsidian artefacts were submitted to geochemical analyses. Each site provides a specific trend regarding relative abundances of raw materials, provenance and consumption patterns. Considered in the wider perspective of the Neolithic Corsican context, results reveal procurement variations from a chronological as well as a geographical point of view. Those variations may echo economic and social evolutions undergone in Neolithic societies of the Tyrrhenian area.
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Résumé

Approvisionnement en silex et en obsidienne de trois sites corses des VIe et Vᵉ millénaires. Les études de provenance des matériaux siliceux employés en Corse au Néolithique se sont principalement focalisées sur l’obsidienne, montrant quasi systématiquement une utilisation des sources du Monte Arci (Sardaigne). Cependant, l’identification de l’origine du silex, compte tenu de la diversité des sources potentielles distribuées en Sardaigne et sur le continent, peut apporter des informations spatiales complémentaires sur les phénomènes de circulation et de diffusion. L’origine de ces matériaux lithiques importés en Corse a été
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1. Introduction

The first Holocene populating of Western Mediterranean islands started during the 9th millennium BC [11,13]. In Corsica, nine Mesolithic sites were attributed to the 9th and 8th millennium BC [10,30]. In those settlements, local resources from the Hercynian basement, the Alpine lustrous shales and volcanic rocks, were exploited (Fig. 1), in particular filonian quartz and rhyolites [14,39,58].

The Neolithisation of Corsica occurred during the 6th millennium BC with the spread of the Cardial culture [3,5,6,26,57]. Currently, following a revisited Neolithic chronology [50], about 25 sites related to this culture are known in Corsica. After an apparent reduction of the number of sites during the 5th millennium, the number of settlements dated from the 4th millennium is much more important. From the Earliest Neolithic sites, allochtonous raw materials, obsidian and chert, appeared in large quantities in Corsica, constituting a significant part of lithic implements beside local materials.

The nearest obsidian sources are those of the islands of Sardinia, Lipari, Palmarola and Pantelleria. Several obsidian provenance studies based on elementary compositions have shown that the Neolithic obsidians of Corsica came almost exclusively from Sardinia [18,27–29,51,52,55], where they are associated with the Monte Arci volcanic massif. The only known exception is about one artefact from the site of Castiglione (Oletta), dated by 14C between 7004 and 6620 cal BC, which originates from Palmarola [47].

Scarce reworked centimetre-sized chert pebbles have been discovered along the northern coast of Corsica [43], and hydrothermal silicifications might occur occasionally in the massifs of Alpine Corsica. However, the most important and closest potential chert outcrops are located in Sardinia, either in the Oligo-Miocene basin of Perfugas (Anglona) or in the vicinity of the Oristano bay (Fig. 1). However, our current knowledge of Sardinian chert sources may not be exhaustive. For long, chert provenance assignments in Corsica, when mentioned in the literature, rested on assumptions or visual matching with Sardinian sources [17]. Petrographic chert sourcing has only been investigated recently [8,9].

Fig. 1. Location of the Corsican sites studied, Sardinian obsidian sources and chert sources surveyed.
Fig. 1. Localisation des sites corsés étudiés, des sources sardes d’obsidienne et de silex prospectées.
Provenance studies of Neolithic raw materials are still scarce in Corsica. This can in part be related to the difficult characterization of materials as quartz, rhyolites and cherts, and to the lack of reference source samples. In the case of obsidians, the works were often only carried out on a small number of samples from poorly dated sites. Integrated studies of raw materials origin are of importance to understand the relationship between cultural evolution of Corsica and regional interactions. We present here the results of a chert and obsidian provenance study for the 6th millennium site of Renaghju and the 5th millennium sites of Monte Revincu and Vascullacciu, currently excavated or studied.

2. The sites and their lithic industry

2.1. Renaghju

The site of Renaghju is located on the Cauria plateau, in the Southwest of Corsica, close to Sartène. At an altitude of about 110 m, it is separated from the sea shore, 2.5 km away, by a granitic massif culminating at 267 m. This part of ‘Hercynian’ Corsica displays calc-alkaline granitoids cut by quartz, dolerite, and rhyolite veins [41,42].

During the excavation of the megalithic site [21,22], the remains of a Cardial Early Neolithic settlement (Renaghju phase 1) have been discovered at the base of the stratigraphy (phase 1). The settlement showed preserved dwelling structures associated with ceramics of the Filiestru–Basi–Pienza style and a rich lithic industry [20].

Four 14C ages obtained from charcoal associated with four heated stones structures show that the site was occupied during the 6th millennium BC (Table 1).

The lithic assemblage of Renaghju phase 1 is composed of 30% quartz (milky and less frequently hyaline), 9% rhyolite, 46% chert and 15% obsidian (Table 2).

The debitage of quartz aimed at the production of flakes and, occasionally, on hyaline quartz, of bladelets. Rhyolite industry is mostly composed of flakes with the scarce occurrence of laminar products and rare geometric armatures. Chert and obsidian are dedicated to the production of blades and bladelets and are frequently transformed into geometric armatures and truncations. The first stages of the chaîne opératoire are represented among the industry, but we observed a deficit in nucleus.

The provenance study was conducted on the whole chert corpus and on 41 obsidians.

2.2. Monte Revincu

The site of Monte Revincu, excavated intermittently since 1995, is located in the area of the Agriate in the northern part of Corsica [33,34]. The prehistoric settlement extends over the foothills of the Monte Revincu (356 m high), which overhangs the plain of Casta to the south and the Saint-Florent gulf to the north. The Agriate belongs to the Tenda massif, situated between the Balagne granitoids to the west and the lustrous shales of Alpine Corsica to the east.

The site consists of more than 40 stone structures, among which have been identified three dolmens, at least four cists graves and 35 rectangular structures related to domestic activities.

The dating of six structures shows a simultaneous use of the dwelling site and of sepulchral monuments between 4300 and 4000 BC (Table 1). Those dates are the earliest to document megalithic culture in Corsica. They support recent hypotheses about the emergence of insular
Table 2
Distribution and nature of lithic raw materials in the three studied sites. EN, Early Neolithic; MN, Middle Neolithic. N(tot), total number of artefacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>N(tot)</th>
<th>Autochtonous (Corsica)</th>
<th>Allochthonous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>Obsidian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>Chert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>N(tot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculacciu*</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>2303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Revincu**</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>7603</td>
<td>7458</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaghju*</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>4303</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>390</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2003 inventory
** 1999 inventory

megalithic cultures within a Middle Neolithic context [19,33].

The distribution of the lithic material varies only slightly between the structures. Quartz is always above 90% and on the average amounts to 98% (Table 2). Rhyolite, chert and obsidian are the other components. Among foreign materials, obsidian is the most abundant. Technological patterns of milky quartz are very similar to those of Renaghju. All stages of flake debitage were processed on site for this material. Hyaline quartz specimens are scarce, but testify to bladelet pressure debitage skills. The rhyolites, cherts and obsidians have not been knapped on the site, but introduced as end-products (armatures, pièces esquillées).

Twenty-six cherts were selected for a sourcing study. Most were associated with a stone structure situated at the foot of the Urcu dolmen (see ref. Ly-13092 in Table 1 for dating). Among the 19 obsidians sourced, 16 were collected in structure 8 (Cima di Suarello area), interpreted as a dwelling area.

2.3. Vasculacciu

Vasculacciu, located in southern Corsica, is an open-air settlement that extends atop a monzogranite hill. It consists of a megalithic necropolis and a dwelling. The necropolis is composed of eight to ten megalithic burials, associated with several stone structures. Seven cists graves had been excavated in the 1960s by Grosjean and Liégeois [25]. Since 1999, new investigations have been carried out [48]. No radiocarbon dating is available yet. However, a relative dating, based on ceramic chrono-typology, suggests the second half of the 5th millennium, i.e. an early phase of the Middle Neolithic [49].

Lithic assemblages come from burial and dwelling contexts inside an area of approximately 90,000 m². Typotechnological studies showed a relative homogeneity of the industry. The lithic material is highly dominated by obsidian (80.5%). Chert, quartz and rhyolite make almost all the complement, with 8.3%, 6.4% and 3.1%, respectively (Table 2).

Obsidian blades were produced by direct percussion with a hard hammer for shaping stages and a soft hammer for advanced reduction phases. For this exotic material, all processing stages of the chaîne opératoire occurred in situ. Obsidian tools are dominated by pièces esquillées and, in lower proportions, by scrapers [49]. The debitage of other materials as chert, rhyolite and quartz is dedicated to flake production, seldom transformed in tools. The sourcing study was made on 231 cherts and 41 obsidians artefacts.

3. Obsidian sourcing

In the Western Mediterranean, archaeological obsidians can easily be sourced from their major elements contents [24,53]. We used this opportunity for the Vasculacciu obsidians. Millimetre-sized chips taken from the artefacts and 57 geological samples representative of the four Western Mediterranean types of obsidians were analyzed with an electron microprobe by wavelength dispersion spectrometry (EMP-WDS) at IFREMER (Brest), following the procedures described elsewhere [35]. The artefacts of Monte Revincu and of Renaghju were treated non-destructively by particle-induced X-ray emission (PIXE), which gives access to the content of 15 major to trace elements. The analyses were performed either with the external beam of the AGLAE facility of the ‘Centre de recherche et de restau-
Fig. 2. Representation of EMP-WDS analyses of Vasculacciu artefacts plotted using discriminant functions (see text). The ellipses defining the Sardinian types of obsidians are calculated at a 90% confidence level from the contents of Na, Al, Mg, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti and Fe determined for 101 geological samples [35, this work].

Both major and trace elements data indicate that all the archaeological obsidians analyzed come from Sardinia. A discriminant analysis of the EMP-WDS data performed with the XLSTAT software [1] shows that among the Vasculacciu artefacts, obsidians of all the Sardinian types are represented, although with a large predominance of the SB2 and SC types (Fig. 2, Table 3). The PIXE data for the Monte Revincu and Renaghju artefacts, notably their contents in Al, Ti, Mn, Zn, Rb, Sr and Zr, indicate that they were made only from SA, SB2 and SC obsidians (Table 3). This can be illustrated by bivariate diagrams, as those proposed in Fig. 3.

4. Chert sourcing

Chert sourcing was realized based on their sedimentary microfacies, as defined from optical observations with a stereomicroscope at magnifications between 10 × and 60 × [2,7]. Source assignments are proposed from comparisons with reference samples from northern Corsica pebbles, Sardinian chert source areas of Perfugas basin, Montiferru massif and Campidano plain, respectively (Fig. 1). The cherts in anyone of these three areas may present a variety of microfacies, for instance no less than 24 in the Perfugas basin, which are specific of
each one of these three source areas. Some limitations, however, may come from white patina or/and thermal alteration that affect(s) a variable fraction of cherts in a given assemblage. In such cases, depending on the importance of these effects, some cherts could only be classified as ‘undeterminable’.

At Renaghju, we distinguished 24 types. Among the 1548 determinable cherts, 86% have a Sardinian origin from the Oligo-Miocene Basin of Perfugas [9] (Table 3). The facies variability observed among the archaeological assemblage partly reflects that of cherts from the Perfugas outcropping area, which extends over 15 km². The facies of the remaining artefacts do not match any of our geological reference samples.

Monte Revincu cherts are characterized by six different facies. Among those, a recurrent one was found both in the Urcu area (five specimens) and in structure 8 (one specimen) of the archaeological site. Macroscopically, it is a grey translucent chert with white speckles. Under the stereomicroscope, microfossil remains (algal fragments, sponge spicules, radiolarians) are indicative of a formation in a marine environment. This facies has also been observed in two other sites of the Nebbiu–Agriate massif and in Costa di U Monte, on the eastern coast of Corsica [40]. Another facies is represented by seven pieces that might come from the same artefact, fragmented by thermal alteration. None of the chert facies of Monte Revincu corresponds to those of the Corsican or Sardinian source areas sampled until now, so that no provenance is proposed for this collection yet (Table 3).

At Vasculacciu, 11 chert facies were identified. Among them, one is similar to that of geological cherts from the Montiferru massif (Fig. 1, Table 3). Two other facies resemble that of the archaeological cherts from the Middle Neolithic site of Torre Foghe (Tres Nuraghes) [23] in the Centre-West coast of Sardinia (Fig. 1). However, the geological counterpart of the latter remains unknown.

5. Discussion

The lithic industries of Renaghju, Vasculacciu and Monte Revincu differ in many aspects and, in the first place, by their various fractions of artefacts, from 11% to 99%, made from ‘autochthonous’ (Corsican) raw materials. Among these materials, quartz is always dominating (57% to 98%), followed by rhyolites (<1% to 24%) and other kinds of rocks. Large variations are also found among allochtonous lithologies where obsidian and chert may vary inversely from 24% to 91%. Such wide variations between Corsican Neolithic sites of the 6th and 5th millennia were, however, not to be unexpected, as they were previously pointed out [15]. However, the number of well-dated sites of these periods for which detailed excavations were realized, quantitative data about the numbers of autochthonous/allochtonous raw materials available and, among the latter, the relative proportions of obsidians and cherts are quite small. Whereas about 25 and 18 sites may be attributed to the 6th and 5th millennia, respectively, from revised chronology [50], such information is only available for 19 out of them, as given in Table 4. In all cases, allochtonous raw materials are present, although in extremely variable relative abundances, from a few percent to 98%. However, when the data are considered in the diachrony and the sites’ localisations are taken into account, some striking behavioural differences appear between the sites of ‘northern’ Corsica, including the ‘southernmost’ Grotte Southwell, and of southern Corsica (Figs. 4 and 5).

In northern Corsica, the ‘local’ raw materials dominate largely the lithic implements, with always more than 70% of the artefacts. No notable differences appear between sites localized near to the coastlines or in coastal environments.
Table 4
Synthesis of published data on raw material nature of Early and Middle Neolithic Corsican sites. NA: not available

Tableau 4
Synthèse des données publiées sur la nature des matières premières des sites corses du Néolithique ancien et moyen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Dating</th>
<th>N(tot)</th>
<th>Autochtonous (%)</th>
<th>Allochtonous (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle Neolithic – 5th mil. BC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Corsica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffa Piana</td>
<td>XXI-XXII</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strette</td>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torre d’Acquila</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Revincu</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>7603</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Southern Corsica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Figue</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tivulaghju</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presa-Tusiu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaghju</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogghjaredda</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculacciu</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardial Early Neolithic – 6th mil. BC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Corsica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Petra</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strette</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abri Albertini</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grotte Southwell</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Southern Corsica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longone</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bufua III</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basi</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaghju</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>4303</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U Grecu</td>
<td>Typology</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 plains and inland sites, as Abri Albertini and Grotte Southwell. The slight apparent increase in the abundance of local material in sites attributed to the 5th millennium might result from a statistical effect (small number of sites documented).

The eleven sites of the southern end of Corsica display a more diversified pattern. The autochthonous materials represent always less than 40% of the lithic assemblages, but for three sites of the 5th millennium and one of the 6th millennium. One of those, Presia-Tusiu, localized upstream from a high valley is the farthest from the coastline. This situation and the nearby availability of quartz might account for more than 96% autochthonous material. However, no access problems might be invoked for the Renaghju site, where ‘imported’ obsidian and flints were more largely used during the preceding millennium. The third particular site is the coastal La Figue, characterized by an exploitation of littoral resources, into which apparently obsidian and especially cherts did not contribute much [44]. At last, further investigations will be required to explain the local procurement pattern of U Grecu which status is not well-defined. Another striking difference between the 6th and the 5th millennia is the change from a rather large use of flint to an impressive domination of obsidian among the allochtonous materials. This pattern had already been noticed on the basis of less quantitative data [12].

5.1. Obsidian

Among the 100 obsidian artefacts analyzed, only one was found to be of the SB1 Monte Arci type, at Vasculacciu. This is in agreement with earlier provenance studies that have shown that, among the four types of Monte Arci obsidians, only three, SA, SB2, and SC, were well represented in Neolithic assemblages, SB1 obsidians being only incidentally met. This was attributed to its lower knapping qualities rather than to raw material modules and frequency or source accessibility [37]. In Corsica, for the 6th and 5th millennia, the rare provenance studies realized until now bear often on less than 20 artefacts per site (Fig. 6). Even when several tens of artefacts were sourced, as for Basi, this still represents only a small fraction of the collected material (Table 4). Even taking into
account these limitations, Fig. 6 might suggest a trend toward an increase with time of the use of SC obsidians relatively to SA and SB2 ones. A similar behaviour was observed by Tykot [51,52,55] in Sardinia for the same periods. However, these proposals rely yet on too small numbers of sites and of analyses to be taken at face.

In other terms, although the constant presence of obsidians in Early and Middle Neolithic sites of Corsica and their sourcing to Sardinia show the continuity
of the relationship between the two islands, the present status of obsidian sourcing prevents any detailed discussion about the meaning of their relative abundances in any given site.

Stages of introduction and on-site consumption of obsidian vary from one site analysed to another. Indeed, although studies are still in progress, in particular for Renaghju and Vasculacciu, preliminary data show a shift from blade to bladelet debitage between the Early Neolithic site of Renaghju and the Middle Neolithic sites of Vasculacciu and Monte Revincu.

Whereas the different stages of the chaîne opératoire seem to be represented in both southern sites, Renaghju and Vasculacciu, obsidian is on the opposite introduced as end-products (mostly bladelets) in the northern Corsican site of Monte Revincu. It is worth to mention at this point that raw block imports have been recently evidenced at several Middle Neolithic sites of southern Corsica [50].

Technological constraints may have played a major part in the choice of raw material from Monte Arci, as pointed out by Lugliè for a Sardinian lithic study [37].

5.2. Chert

As for obsidian, identified provenances of chert of the three sites point to Sardinia. Whereas obsidian displays a single provenance region, the Monte Arci chert comes from several outcropping areas. However, a significant proportion of chert types, about 20% of the whole corpus, could not be related to any source. This suggests either a lack of reference source samples for Sardinia or that unassigned cherts might have come from a continental (Peninsular Italy?) area. This latter lead will soon be explored.

In the Corsican context, chert provenance studies is a rather pristine field of research. Other data on chert origins are not available yet, preventing us from considering our current results in a comparative perspective.

In Renaghju, evidences of introduction of raw blocks of chert or prepared nucleus for blade production are obvious. In both Middle Neolithic sites of Vasculacciu and Monte Revincu, cherts reach the site as flakes or end-products. Thus, from the 5th millennium onward, chert imports appear largely subordinated to the main diffusion lithic network involving obsidian.

The integrated study of obsidian and chert provenances and use raise a number of questions, among which the circulation modalities. Provisioning of Corsica with obsidian and chert does not match specialized networks patterns, as raw blocks and prepared nucleus are involved in circulations. It does not correspond to what would be expected from trade system. The actual provisioning behaviour, apprehended from Renaghju and Vasculacciu, rather recalls an acquisition within a single cultural entity. But differences may occur in raw material consumption as one gets farther from the sources.

6. Conclusion

Chert and obsidian provenances of the three study sites converge toward Sardinia. While obsidian geochemical analyses conclude systematically to a Monte Arci origin, chert assignments to Perfugas Basin or Oristano region introduce diversity and consequently complexity in circulation patterns between Sardinia and Corsica. However, some chert origins still remain unknown, which calls for more field surveys in Sardinia and more generally in the Tyrrhenian area.

Beside provenances, the three sites display specific procurement patterns in term of raw material distribution, pattern of transport and raw material consumption. Multiple factors may explain the variability of procurement patterns, among which site status seems to play a significant part. The shifts in raw material economy along the Neolithic ascertained from the three studied sites are reinforced when considering raw material spectra of contemporaneous Corsican sites. Further detailed study of Corsican sites raw material procurement may provide cultural or economic explanation of those shifts.

However, to fully understand the social and cultural phenomenon underlying lithic procurement patterns and their changes, it is necessary to consider Corsica and Sardinia in the same perspective, as they show strong connections throughout the Neolithic. We thus need comparable data on lithics of both Tyrrhenian islands. At first glance, the state of the art shows that Sardinian lithic studies are at the same point of progress as in Corsica. Thus, a tight collaboration is foreseen to develop further systematic studies on raw material procurement in the Tyrrhenian area.
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