cryptogamie Algologie 2024 • 45 • 5

Microsatellite development in the freshwater red alga *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (L.) De Candolle (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta)

> Roseanna M. CROWELL, Sarah J. SHAINKER-CONNELLY, Morgan L. VIS & Stacy A. KRUEGER-HADFIELD

art. 45 (5) — Published on 15 May 2024 www.cryptogamie.com/algologie PUBLICATIONS SCIENTIFIQUES MUSËUM NALHIST NATURELE NATURELE DIRECTEUR DE LA PUBLICATION / PUBLICATION DIRECTOR: GILles BLOCH Président du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle

RÉDACTRICE EN CHEF / EDITOR-IN-CHIEF: LINE LE GALL Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle

Assistant de rédaction / Assistant editor: Chris LE COQUET-LE ROUX (algo@cryptogamie.com)

MISE EN PAGE / PAGE LAYOUT: Chris LE COQUET-LE ROUX

RÉDACTEURS ASSOCIÉS / ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Ecoevolutionary dynamics of algae in a changing world

Stacy KRUEGER-HADFIELD

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Eastern Shore Laboratory, Wachapreague, VA 23480 (United States)

Jana KULICHOVA

Department of Botany, Charles University, Prague (Czech Republic)

Cecilia TOTTI

Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Via Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona (Italy)

Phylogenetic systematics, species delimitation & genetics of speciation

Sylvain FAUGERON

UMI3614 Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Algae, Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biologicas, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Av. Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago (Chile)

Marie-Laure GUILLEMIN

Instituto de Ciencias Ambientales y Evolutivas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia (Chile)

Diana SARNO

Department of Integrative Marine Ecology, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121 Napoli (Italy)

Comparative evolutionary genomics of algae

Nicolas BLOUIN

Department of Molecular Biology, University of Wyoming, Dept. 3944, 1000 E University Ave, Laramie, WY 82071 (United States) Heroen VERBRUGGEN

School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria, 3010 (Australia)

Algal physiology & photosynthesis

Janet KÜBLER

California State University Northridge, Department of Biology, California State University, Northridge, CA 91330-8303 (United States)

Prokarvotic algae

Nico SALMASO

IASMA Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Mach-Istituto Agrario di S. Michele all'Adige, Limnology and River Ecology, Via E. Mach, 1, 38010 San Michele all'Adige, Trento (Italy)

Vitor VASCONCELOS Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto and CIIMAR, Rua do Campo Alegre, s/n, 4169-007 Porto (Portugal)

COUVERTURE / COVER: Extrait de la Figure 1 / Extract of Figure 1

Cryptogamie, Algologie est indexé dans / Cryptogamie, Algologie is indexed in:

- Aquatic Sciences & Fisheries Abstracts Part I.
- Biological Abstracts
- Chemical Abstracts
- Current Contents
- Marine Science Contents Tables (FAO)
- Science Citation Index
- Publications bibliographiques du CNRS (Pascal)

Cryptogamie, Algologie est distribué en version électronique par / Cryptogamie, Algologie is distributed electronically by: - BioOne® (http://www.bioone.org/loi/crya)

Cryptogamie, Algologie est une revue en flux continu publiée par les Publications scientifiques du Muséum, Paris Cryptogamie, Algologie is a fast track journal published by the Museum Science Press, Paris

Les Publications scientifiques du Muséum publient aussi / The Museum Science Press also publish: Adansonia, Geodiversitas, Zoosystema, Anthropozoologica, European Journal of Taxonomy, Naturae, Comptes Rendus Palévol, Cryptogamie sous-sections Bryologie, Mycologie.

Diffusion – Publications scientifiques Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle

CP 41 – 57 rue Cuvier F-75231 Paris cedex 05 (France) Tél.: 33 (0)1 40 79 48 05 / Fax: 33 (0)1 40 79 38 40

diff.pub@mnhn.fr / http://sciencepress.mnhn.fr

© Publications scientifiques du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 2024 ISSN (imprimé / print): 0181-1568 / ISSN (électronique / electronic): 1776-0984

Microsatellite development in the freshwater red alga *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (L.) De Candolle (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta)

Roseanna M. CROWELL

Department of Environmental and Plant Biology, Ohio University, Athens, OH, 45701 (United States)

Sarah J. SHAINKER-CONNELLY

Department of Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35294 (United States)

Morgan L. VIS

Department of Environmental and Plant Biology, Ohio University, Athens, OH, 45701 (United States)

Stacy A. KRUEGER-HADFIELD

Department of Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35294 (United States) and Virginia Institute of Marine Science Eastern Shore Laboratory, Wachapreague, VA, 23480 (United States) sakh@vims.edu (corresponding author)

Submitted on 3 December 2023 | Accepted on 12 February 2024 | Published on 15 May 2024

Crowell R. M., Shainker-Connelly S. J., Vis M. L. & Krueger-Hadfield S. A. 2024. — Microsatellite development in the freshwater red alga *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (L.) De Candolle (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta). *Cryptogamie, Algologie* 45 (5): 53-62. https://doi.org/10.5252/cryptogamie-algologie2024v45a5. http://cryptogamie.com/algologie/45/5

ABSTRACT

Haploid-diploid life cycles impose unique eco-evolutionary consequences, rendering commonly used proxies difficult to use (e.g. separate sexes prevent selfing). Population genetic analyses are therefore required to explore patterns of reproductive system variation. However, there are still few haploiddiploid species for which polymorphic, nuclear loci exist. This problem is particularly acute for algae. Here, we describe the development of the first microsatellite loci in a freshwater red alga. We tested 73 candidate loci against a panel of Batrachospermum gelatinosum (L.) De Candolle gametophytes that encompass much of its North American range. Ten loci consistently amplified and were characterized by clean peak architectures on a capillary sequencer with one allele per locus, as expected in a haploid gametophyte. We then explored some basic population genetic indices in gametophytes collected from one site and obtained good resolution based on the probability of identity (pid). Yet, we observed a pattern of clumped repeated genotypes throughout the stream reach sampled. The pattern of moderate genotypic richness could be due to intragametophytic selfing resulting in the complete loss of genetic diversity from a single gamete union. Future studies will need to sample more populations to determine if intragametophytic selfing is the dominant reproductive mode in this monoicous taxon. The loci developed here represent an important tool for studying freshwater red algal populations in specific as well as enhancing our understanding of reproductive system variation and the haploid-diploid life cycle of algae in general.

KEY WORDS North America, Rhodophyta, haploid-diploid life cycle, locus development, partial clonality, primers, population genetics.

RÉSUMÉ

Développement des microsatellites chez l'algue rouge d'eau douce Batrachospermum gelatinosum (L.) De Candolle (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta).

L'étude des conséquences éco-évolutionnaires des cycles haplo-diplophasiques sur les variations du système de reproduction par le biais de la génétique des populations nécessite le développement de marqueurs génétiques adaptés. Cependant, peu de marqueurs polymorphiques nucléaires sont disponibles pour les espèces haplo-diploïdes, notamment les algues. Dans cette étude, nous décrivons le développement des premiers marqueurs microsatellites chez une algue rouge d'eau douce. Nous avons testé 73 locus candidats sur un ensemble de gamétophytes de Batrachospermum gelatinosum (L.) De Candolle représentatifs des populations d'Amérique du Nord. Parmi les locus testés, dix ont été amplifiés par PCR avec succès et présentent une architecture de pics lisibles sur séquenceur capillaire avec un seul allèle comme attendu lors du génotypage de gamétophytes haploïdes. Nous avons ensuite utilisé ces marqueurs pour réaliser des analyses basiques de génétique des populations sur des individus échantillonnés dans un site en Alabama, ce qui a révélé une bonne résolution des marqueurs basée sur la probabilité d'identité (pid). Pourtant, nous avons trouvé des groupes de génotypes répétés spatialement proches dans le site échantillonné. Ce patron de richesse génotypique modéré pourrait être dû à des croisements consanguins entre gamètes issus du même gamétophyte qui résulteraient en la perte totale de diversité génétique. Les futures études devront inclure un échantillonnage plus large pour voir si l'autofécondation est le mode de reproduction dominant chez cette espèce monoïque. Les outils génétiques développés dans cette étude nous permettent de mieux comprendre des populations d'algues rouges d'eau douce ainsi que les variations du système de reproduction lié au cycle biphasique des algues.

MOTS CLÉS Amérique du Nord, Rhodophyta, cycle de vie haploïdediploïde, développement des locus, clonalité partielle, amorces, génétique des populations.

INTRODUCTION

The reproductive system describes the relative rates of sexual and asexual reproduction in a population (Barrett 2011). It is the key life history trait that varies widely among organisms (Barrett 2014), influencing the partitioning of genetic diversity within and among populations (Hamrick & Godt 1996) and the maintenance of genetic associations (Otto & Marks 1996). Outcrossing typically results in genetically diverse populations, whereas self-fertilization (or selfing), inbreeding, and asexuality reduce genetic diversity and effective recombination rates. Otto & Marks (1996) suggested selfing, inbreeding, and asexuality should lead to an increase in the duration of the haploid stage, and thus, a correlation between the reproductive system and the life cycle. However, tests of this correlation remain rare, largely because most available data on reproductive mode variation are from ecologically diploid angiosperms (Whitehead et al. 2018).

Algae have great potential for understanding the relationship between the reproductive mode and the life cycle. Both microand macroalgal taxa exhibit tremendous variation in life cycle types and reproductive systems. However, the haploid-diploid life cycle, in which multicellular gametophytes and sporophytes alternate, generates unique consequences that challenge traditional understanding and the utility of common proxies used to describe patterns in nature (Krueger-Hadfield 2020; Stoeckel *et al.* 2021a). For example, many algae are partially clonal simultaneously undergoing sexual (i.e., selfing to outcrossing) and asexual reproduction. Asexual reproduction varies tremendously from fragmentation (e.g. *Gracilaria* spp.; Kain & Destombe 1995) to asexual spore production (see Maggs 1988). While the balance between sexual and asexual reproduction strongly influences ecological (e.g. Halkett et al. 2005) and evolutionary success (e.g. Orive et al. 2017), the eco-evolutionary consequences of partial clonality remain largely uncharacterized because population genetic models have been developed from exclusively sexual or asexual species (Stoeckel et al. 2021a, b). Moreover, while in angiosperms, separate sexes are often used as a proxy for outcrossing as selfing cannot occur, this is not the case in haploid-diploid taxa. Separate sexes (or dioicy since sex is determined at the haploid gametophyte stage; Beukeboom & Perrin 2014) do not prevent selfing (i.e., intergametophytic selfing; Klekowski 1969) when the male and female gametophytic pair share the same sporophytic parent. Moreover, in hermaphroditic (or monoicous) gametophytes, one event of selfing generates instantaneous, genome-wide homozygosity in the sporophytic offspring (Klekowski 1969). The ratio of hermaphroditism to separate sexes among algal lineages varies tremendously (Bringloe et al. 2020), suggesting a comparable, yet distinctive axis of variation from selfing to outcrossing as compared to angiosperms (Olsen et al. 2020). Thus, we cannot resolve some of these patterns in nature without population genetic data (Tibayrenc & Ayala 1991; Ellegren & Galtier 2016).

Recently, Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* (2021) reviewed available studies for which both gametophytes and sporophytes had been genotyped using polymorphic markers and found only a handful of red algae with such data. To the best of our knowledge, only marine red algae have been explored from a population genetic perspective using co-dominant, polymorphic markers. The red macroalgae found in freshwater ecosystems have been overlooked. These red algae are nested within the marine reds, suggesting not only the invasion of freshwater ecosystems,

TABLE 1. — Sites in which *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (L.) De Candolle gametophytes were sampled. The gametophytes were used in: **library**, SSR-enriched library preparation; **screen**, initial screening on agarose gels or the capillary sequencer; **popgen**, initial population genetic analyses to test locus efficacy. The sample size (**N**) is provided for each site.

	Site	State/					
Site name	abbreviation	Province	Coordinates	Date	Collectors	Development	Ν
Yellow Creek	AL-YEC	Alabama	33°34'19.2"N, 87°24'10.8"W	2.V.2022	SJSC, APO, BMT	screen	1
Cripple Creek	AL-CRC	Alabama	33°29'33.108"N, 87°33'45.478"W	2.V.2022	SJSC, APO, BMT,	screen, popgen	28
Houston Branch	MD-HOU	Maryland	38°44'14.0"N, 75°44'52.4"W	19.VI.2022	RMC, MLV	screen	1
Conneaut Outlet	PA-COT	Pennsylvania	41°34'29.3"N, 80°13'07.6"W	30.IV.2022	RMC, MLV	library	1
Fuller Brook	CT-FLB	Connecticut	41°47'53.6"N, 72°04'08.6"W	12.IV.2022	RMC, MLV, CWS	screen	1
Chipuxet River	RI-CPR	Rhode Island	41°28'57.0"N, 71°33'04.0"W	11.IV.2022	RMC, MLV	library	1
Knappens Creek (Houghton Lake)	MI-HLK	Michigan	44°17'54.4"N, 84°38'57.6"W	12.V.2022	RMC, GAL, MLV	screen	1
Traverse River (Mohawk Gay Road)	MI-TRM	Michigan	47°15'45.3"N, 88°14'13.6"W	11.V.2022	SJSC, APO, BMT, SAKH	screen	1
Margaree River	NS-MAR	Nova Scotia	46°19'10.1"N, 61°02'23.7"W	24.VII.2022	MLV, WBC	screen	1

but also the subsequent loss of separate sexes with many species being monoicous (see Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* 2024). Moreover, freshwater red macroalgae have unique, haploid-diploid life cycles in which the macroscopic gametophyte is physically connected to the microscopic sporophyte (called the chantransia) (Sheath 1984). Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* (2024) highlighted the promise of these taxa, with an emphasis on the order Batrachospermales, to expand our understanding of reproductive system variation across the eukaryotic tree of life.

Here, we describe the development of polymorphic microsatellite loci with which to genotype the freshwater red alga Batrachospermum gelatinosum (L.) De Candolle (Fig. 1). This species is distributed throughout the Northern Hemisphere (Entwisle et al. 2009) and is likely the most common freshwater red alga in North America (Sheath & Cole 1992). Its wide distribution may be attributed to its ability to tolerate a wide range of chemical and physical stream characteristics (Vis et al. 1996). There is also phenological and morphological variation within and among populations (Vis et al. 1996; Vis & Sheath 1997; Drerup & Vis 2014). House et al. (2010) found little genetic variation throughout the geographic range of *B. gelatinosum* based on the mitochondrial *cox*1 and plastid *rbc*L genes. Thus, further studies are needed to integrate the link between stream characteristics and B. gelatinosum reproduction and gene flow. The markers we have developed are suitable for studies of reproductive system variation and patterns of gene flow in this species. Based on cross-amplification of other microsatellites across taxa (e.g. kelp; Coelho et al. 2014), these loci may also be useful for other *Batrachospermum* species. Nevertheless, they expand the available genetic resources for algae that should facilitate future eco-evolutionary studies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION

We used several different sets of *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* gametophytes for the various stages of microsatellite develop-

ment and testing. For single sequence repeat (SSR)-enriched genomic library construction (see more below), we used gametophytes from Conneaut Outlet, PA and Chipuxet River, RI (Table 1). We, then, used gametophytes collected from seven sites across c. 13 degrees of latitude encompassing much of the B. gelatinosum range in North America (Table 1) to test locus amplification (see more below). Finally, we collected 28 gametophytes at Cripple Creek, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, United States for initial population genetic analyses using the newly developed loci (Table 1). At Cripple Creek, we haphazardly sampled gametophytes along a reach. We observed each gametophyte under the microscope for the presence of carposporophytes and to ensure that gametophytes were physically separated if entangled with one another. We removed the lower portion of the gametophyte if there was visible sediment to ensure we had a single gametophyte, and the chantransia and other detritus from the biofilm was removed. We preserved each gametophyte in silica gel, and when possible, remaining tissue was pressed to create herbarium vouchers that are housed at the Bartley Herbarium, Ohio University (BHO).

DNA EXTRACTION

We extracted total genomic DNA using the Machery-Nagel Nucleospin[®] Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Cat #740663.24) following the manufacturer's methods, except for the cell lysis step in which we incubated the lysate at room temperature for one hour and then we eluted DNA in either 200 μ L (for seven gametophytes for initial locus screening) or 100 μ L of molecular grade water (28 gametophytes for population genetic analyses; see Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* 2013).

MICROSATELLITE LOCUS IDENTIFICATION

SSR-enriched genomic sequence data were generated by Microsynth ecogenics GmbH (Balgach, Switzerland). We identified putative loci from the SSR-enriched library and followed Schoebel *et al.* (2013), with modifications implemented in Ryan *et al.* (2021) and Heiser *et al.* (2023). We

used MSATCOMMANDER 1.0.8-beta (Faircloth 2008) to design primers for di-, tri- and tetranucleotide repeat motifs, separately. A minimum of eight repeats was selected and the following primer melting temperatures (T_m): minimum of 58°C, optimum of 60°C, and maximum of 62°C. For dinucleotides, we identified 381 sequences with eight or more repeats, 192 of those had primers assigned, and 55 were potentially duplicated in the library. For trinucleotides, we identified 651 sequences with eight or more repeats, 263 of those had primers assigned, and 46 were potentially duplicated in the library. For tetranucleotides, we identified 195 sequences with six or more repeats, 59 of those had primers assigned, and six were potentially duplicated in the library. We had 137, 217, and 53 potential loci with di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeat motifs.

We used the R code provided by Schoebel *et al.* (2013) in R version 4.2.1 (R Core Team 2022) to combine the primer and microsatellite sequences into one file. For the dinucleotides, after merging the files we had 147 unique reads remaining. After removing duplicated forward and reverse primer sequences, we had 127 unique reads remaining. For trinucleotides, after merging the files we had 224 unique reads remaining. After removing duplicated forward and reverse primer sequences, we had 212 unique reads remaining. For tetranucleotides, after merging the files we had 54 unique reads remaining. After removing duplicated forward and reverse primer sequences, we had 212 unique reads remaining. For tetranucleotides, after merging the files we had 54 unique reads remaining. After removing duplicated forward and reverse primer sequences, we had 52 unique reads remaining. We, then, combined the files with unique reads.

We calculated the absolute difference between the forward and reverse T_m for each primer pair and sorted from smallest (0°C) to largest (2.51°C). We filtered out loci with a temperature difference of greater than 1°C. We, then, filtered the putative loci by the forward penalty score, reverse penalty score, and the pair penalty score. In each category, we removed loci with a penalty score > 0.5. We chose the top 162 loci in which at least one of these four categories was fulfilled. Of these 162 loci, 94 fulfilled all four categories and we used in a BLAST search in Geneious Prime v.2022.2.2 (Biomatters, Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand; https://www. geneious.com) using the SSR-enriched library to ensure that only one primer pair was binding to the same locus, no primer pair was binding to more than one locus, and repeat regions were not within the primers. A total of 73 candidate loci were chosen following the BLAST search and screened using seven gametophytes (see Table 1).

MICROSATELLITE LOCUS SCREENING AND PCR CONDITIONS Candidate loci were amplified using simplex PCRs with a final volume 20 μ L: 2 μ L of neat DNA template, 250 nM of each forward and reverse primers, 1X of GoTaq[®] Flexi DNA Green Buffer (Promega, Cat #M891A), 2 mM of MgCl₂, 250 μ M of each dNTP (Promega, Cat #R0192), 1 mg/mL of bovine serum albumin (BSA, Fisher Bioreagents, Cat #BP9706-100i), and 1 U of Promega GoTaq[®] Flexi DNA Polymerase. We used the following PCR program: 95°C for two minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 59°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, with a final elongation at 72°C for five minutes. Approximately 5 μ L of each PCR product was screened on 1.5% agarose gels stained with GelRed (Biotium, Fremont, CA, United States, Cat #41002-1). Each locus was then categorized based on the amplification profile: one band, multiple bands, or no amplification (Table 2). We considered primers to be good candidates if they amplified well across the seven gametophytes and only had one band per gametophyte. Based on these criteria, 18 candidate loci were selected for screening using the capillary sequencer.

We assigned dyes - 6FAM, NED, VIC, PET - to each forward oligo for the 18 candidate loci (Table 2). To plan for future multiplexing, we assigned dyes such that multiplexes will contain loci with different fragment lengths that can be easily distinguished from one another. We performed fragment analysis of all samples at the Heflin Center for Genomic Sciences at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. We diluted 1.5 µL PCR product in 9.7 µL HiDi formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.30 µL GS 500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems, Cat #4322682). We scored alleles using GENEIOUS PRIME. Loci were categorized based on their allelic profiles as one allele (expected as gametophytes are haploid) or multi-allelic (two or more alleles). We discarded multi-allelic loci and moved forward with loci that exhibited one allele per locus and were therefore considered to be in single locus genetic determinism (see Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2011).

MICROSATELLITE ALLELE BINNING

We used TANDEM to bin alleles while reducing rounding error (Matschiner & Salzburger 2009). We manually checked allele bins.

DATA ANALYSES

Gametophytes that had more than three loci with no amplification after multiple attempts at PCR were excluded from subsequent analyses. The frequency of null alleles was directly estimated for the remaining gametophytes for which there was no PCR product after discounting any technical errors (see also Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2011). As a preliminary exploration of these loci, we calculated the following summary statistics to describe the population of *B. gelatinosum* at Cripple Creek following the calculations provided in Krueger-Hadfield et al. (2021) and Stoeckel et al. (2021a): 1) the probability of identity between sibs (pid) to assess whether loci are of high enough resolution to distinguish among individuals; 2) genotypic richness (R) and evenness (D^*), which provide information on the relative proportion of unique multilocus genotypes (MLGs) and their distribution, respectively; 3) linkage disequilibrium (\bar{r}_D) following Agapow & Burt (2001); and 4) expected heterozygosity (H_E).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DESCRIPTION OF MICROSATELLITE LOCI

Seventeen loci did not amplify while 38 displayed multiple bands (Table 2). We did not test these loci further. Loci Bgel_006, Bgel_011, Bgel_031, Bgel_041, Bgel_047, and Bgel_058 had multiple peaks on the capillary sequencer.



Fig. 1. — Images of *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (L.) De Candolle: **A**, gametophytes fixed to a log at Cedar Bog in Ohio. Often this species has a brown to olive-green color; **B**, multiple gametophytes in a 55 cm diameter bowl; **C**, a single gametophyte mounted on herbarium paper. Photo credits: A, Stacy A. Krueger-Hadfield; B, C, Morgan L. Vis. Scale bars: A, 10 cm; B, 1.5 cm; C, 1 cm.

TABLE 2. — Microsatellite locus information for Batrachospermum gelatinosum (L.) De Candolle. Locus name, repeat motif, exp	ected size, oligo sequences, aga-
rose gel amplification profile, fluorochrome used on the forward oligo, and fragme	nt analysis (FA) amplification profile. Note: fluc	prochrome and FA profile columns
are only for loci tested on the capillary sequencer. Diallelic1, we consistently obs	erved two alleles when only one should be pr	resent in a haploid gametophyte.

		Exp.				FA	Allele size	
Locus	Motif	size	Oligo sequences	Gel profile	Fluorochrom	e profile	range	Total alleles
Bael 021	ACC	1/1/ hn	F. CHERCON ACCHCACHCHCCC	Single	6-EAM	Single	138_1/1	2
Dgei_02 i	Auu	144 bp		Single		Single	100-141	2
Bael 052	ΔΤ	312 hn	F. CCCTCAATCCAACGCACIIGG	Single	VIC	Single	310-312	2
Dgei_002		012 bp	P: ACACCUTCCAACCUTACCACC	Single	VIO	Single	010-012	2
Bael 053	٨G	327 hn	F: CCTCTCATCTCCCCCACAATC	Single	VIC	Single	208-328	6
Dgci_000	AG	021 00	R: CCAACATCCACCTTTCCACC	Olligic	VIO	Olligic	200 020	0
Bael 056	AG	273 hn	F: CTTGCTCACGACTTTGGACG	Single	VIC	Single	272-284	5
Dgci_000	//0	210 00		Olligic	vio	olligio	212 204	0
Bael 057	AGC	396 hn	F: CCTGAATGAGGTGATGTGGC	Single	PFT	Single	382-412	7
D901_001	71010	000.00	B. TECACETEETTCTTEACAAG	Chigic		Chilgio	002 112	
Bael 059	AGG	272 bp	F: TTTGAGTACCACCACCGTC	Single	VIC	Sinale	252-258	2
			R: GGGAAGTAGGCGTAGAAGGG	<u>-</u>		5		_
Bael 067	AG	191 bp	F: AGGCCAACATGCAGCAATAG	Sinale	6-FAM	Sinale	178-182	3
0			R: CAAGTTGCTTTGTTCGCTGC	5		5		
Bgel 070	AG	130 bp	F: TGGAGGCTAACGACATGGAC	Single	6-FAM	Single	120-140	7
0 –		•	R: CCGCACAAAGTAGTCGATCG	0		U		
Bgel_071	AG	226 bp	F: TTATCCACTCCCGGTCTTGC	Single	NED	Single	215-299	14
0 –			R: gtttgaagcgtgggaagagg	0		0		
Bgel_073	ACG	294 bp	F: TCGACTTTGCAAACTCCAGC	Single	VIC	Single	272-308	6
			R: ggtacgtgtggacaaacgac					
Bgel_035	ACG	424 bp	F: gttggcggaaatggagtgag	Single	PET	Diallelic ¹	-	-
			R: CTTCGACATCATGCTGAGCG					
Bgel_048	AG	348 bp	F: AACTTGGCCACGCATTCATC	Single	PET	Diallelic1;	-	-
			R: CAATGGTCATCTGCCGTGTC			poor amp.		
Bgel_006	ATC	200 bp	F: CTCGTTCAAAGCTAGGCGTG	Single	NED	Multiple	-	-
			R: TAAACAGGCCCTATGTCCGG					
Bgel_011	AGG	261 bp	F: CTGCTTCGACACCAACGTAC	Single	NED	Multiple	-	-
			R: TCTCTGCCTCTCCATTCACG					
Bgel_031	AGCC	372 bp	F: CTCTGGTGCGCTGTTTATCG	Single	PET	Multiple	-	-
			R: ACCAAACGGAAACAGCTGAC					
Bgel_041	AAC	211 bp	F: TGAAGGCGTTGTTGGGAAAC	Single	NED	Multiple;	-	-
D 1 0 17	A AT	1001	R: GGTGGATTCAAGCGCCTATC	0.	0 5414	poor amp.		
Bgel_047	AAI	183 bp	F: TAAGGTCGCTTCTCCACCAC	Single	6-FAM	Multiple	-	-
		007 1	R: ATTCAAGCCTTCGAAGCTGC	0'	DET	M. It's Is		
Bgel_058	AG	367 bp	F: CCGTTTCTGCAGTCGTCATC	Single	PEI	wuitipie	-	-
Deal 001	A A C	000 hm		Multiple				
bgei_001	AAC	292 ph		wuitiple	-	-	-	-
Bael 002	AAC	166 hn	F. CCCCACACAAACCACTACAC	Multiple	_	_	_	_
Dgci_002	740	100 bp	R: ACAACAACCACAAMCCAGIAGAC	wattpic				
Bael 003	AGC	241 hn	F: ACAGGAGTATGCAGAACCGG	Multiple	_	_	_	_
Dgci_000	//00	241.00		Wattiple				
Bael 004	AGG	255 bp	F: AGTACACGAGCCACCATCTC	Multiple	_	_	_	_
290001	, 10.01	200.00	R: TGAGAGGAAGCAGCAGTCAC	manipro				
Bael 005	AAC	231 bp	F: GTGGAGCCAACACGTTACG	Multiple	_	_	_	_
0			R: TCCTGGAGTGTTACTGGCTG					
Bgel_007	AAG	141 bp	F: ggtgctggttgattgatggg	Multiple	_	-	-	-
			R: TGAGAACGAGGAGGCCAATC					
Bgel_008	AGC	308 bp	F: TTCGGTTCCGGTTCTACTCC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: gtcttccgtctttgccatcg					
Bgel_009	ATC	273 bp	F: gcgtaatggtggtggtcaag	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: ACCACTGGACGAGATGACTG					
Bgel_010	AG	277 bp	F: AGGCAGTTATCTTCCCGACC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: CACCGGATACTGACGTTTGC					
Bgel_012	AGC	346 bp	F: ACCACCTAGTTCTGCACCTC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: GACGATGCATGCGAGAGATG					
Bgel_013	AGC	302 bp	F: TGAAGGAGGCAGAGATGAGC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
		1001	R: CGTTCATCACTCGCGAAGAC					
Bgel_014	AGC	130 pp	F: GCAATATGAGGCAGCAAGGG	wultiple	-	-	-	-
Daol 015	ATC	167	n: TCTCAGCACGACACACTACC	Multiple				
byel_015	AIC	107 pp	I. AGTGGATTGATTGTGCTGCG	wultiple	-	-	-	-
Rapl 016	AG	213 hr		Multiple	_	_	_	_
Dyci_010	AU	210 pp	R. AACACEACEACECCOECEC	multiple	-			
			I. ACAGIACICCGCICICGIC					

Table 2. – Continuation.

Locus	Motif	Exp. size	Oligo sequences	Gel profile	Fluorochrome	FA profile	Allele size range	Total alleles
Bgel_017	AAG	176 bp	F: TCCTTTCTCCTCCTCGCAAC	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_018	AAG	290 bp	F: GCACCTGGACGTTTGAATCGC F: GCACAGATACATTCGGCGTC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_019	AT	241 bp	R: TCCCATCGAACATCCACCTC F: GTACTTATGTGCGGCCTTGG	Multiple	-	_	_	-
Bgel_020	AG	315 bp	R: CAGTCCCGGTCTATGTAGGC F: TAGAATGAGACGGGCGATCG	Multiple	_	_	-	-
Bgel_022	AC	298 bp	R: CCGCTTTGAGTCCGTAAACC F: GCCATCCTCTTGCCACATTC	No amp.	_	_	_	_
Bgel 023	ACT	161 bp	R: gtttgggttccgtctgtcag F: tgtcgaccataagctccgag	No amp.	_	_	_	_
Bael 024	AAC	236 bp	R: GTCACCTGGGCAAGCATTAC F: TTGCGCAGATTCACGAACTG	Multiple	_	_	_	_
Deel 005	ACT	044 hr	R: AGGTGATAAGAGGCGGTGAC	No.omn				
Bgel_025	ACT	244 bp	R: AGTGGCACCTCGATATACCG	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_026	AGC	282 bp	F: TCTGATGGTAGGGTTGCTGG R: AGAGGGCTGTAGTGAATCGG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_027	AGG	190 bp	F: ATCGGTCAAGAGTTGCATGC R: ACGTCTCTATTCCATCGCCC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_028	AC	389 bp	F: ATTGCTCCGTCATTGGCATG	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_029	ACTC	330 bp	F: TCGCGCTCATTTCCAAACTC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_030	AAT	280 bp	R: TCAGTCGATCAAGGAGCTGG F: GCTGCGTCACTCTTCTCATG	No amp.	-	_	-	-
Bgel_032	ATC	226 bp	R: TTTCCTCTTGTTGTGCTCGC F: gattccaaataccaccggcg	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_033	ACGG	159 bp	R: ATCGCCTGGGATGATCGATC F: CCTGCACTTGTGACGATTCC	No amp.	_	_	_	_
Bgel_034	ATC	281 bp	R: GGACGCTTCGAAGGAACATC F: CGTCGTCGTCAATGTTCCTG	Multiple	_	_	_	-
Bael 036	AC	179 bp	R: CCTTGCTGTGGAACTTGGTG F: TCGTCCTGGTCCATGCTAAG	No amp.	_	_	_	_
Deel 027	AAC	170 bp	R: CCTGCCCGTTTGCTTATGAG	No omn				
Bgel_037	AAC	179 bp	R: ATTACGAGTGTCACCGGGAG	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_038	AGAI	159 bp	F: CTATTTCGATTCGTCGCGGG R: AAGACAGAACCTCCGCTCAG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_039	AAT	148 bp	F: CCACTTCGGTTTCAGGAAGC R: CGGTCAGAATCATCACGTGC	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_040	ATC	140 bp	F: TCCTCTCCATTCAGCAGTCG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_042	AC	418 bp	F: TGGACATACTCGCTCCACAG	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_043	AAT	275 bp	F: TCCAACTCCTCAACGACCTG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_044	AAC	333 bp	R: TTGGAGCAGAATTCGTTCGC F: AGCCAATACCAACCCTCGAG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
Bgel_045	AAC	239 bp	R: tgcatcattcaactcgccac F: accgaacaagcacttcaacc	Multiple	_	_	_	_
Bgel 046	AAC	173 bp	R: ATGACGTCGTTGGCAAGAAC F: CAGCAGCGAGTGGAAAGTAC	Multiple	_	_	_	_
Bael 049	ΑΑΤΤ	395 bp	R: gctacacgaagatgggcaac F: gttcgacggtcatcagcatg	No amp.	_	_	_	_
Bael 050	AGG	251 bp	R: TCTTCCAACCCTGCTCTGAC	No amp	_	_	_	_
Byel_050	AGG	201 bp	R: ACCTCTGCCTCACTCATTCC	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_051	AAI	147 bp	R: AATGCAGCCATGACTCGTTG	iviuitipie	-	-	-	-
Bgel_054	AC	199 bp	F: TGCGTTGTCTTCTTTGCTCC R: CATCCGCCACAGAAACCATG	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_055	AC	282 bp	F: TCAGAGGAATGGATGGACGC R: GATGTTCCGTGCAGATCAGC	Multiple	-	_	-	-
Bgel_060	AAG	226 bp	F: CTTTCTTCACCGACGCTGAC	No amp.	-	-	-	-
Bgel_061	AAAT	137 bp	F: CAGATGATTCGAGCGATGCC R: CACGGGCATGACAAATCTCC	No amp.	-	-	-	-

		Exp.				FA	Allele size	
Locus	Motif	size	Oligo sequences	Gel profile	Fluorochrom	ne profile	range	Total alleles
Bgel_062	AG	228 bp	F: CGAACAACAGACATAGCGGG	No amp.	_	-	-	-
-			R: TCTTCCTGCGGCTGTAAGAG					
Bgel_063	AT	148 bp	F: gttcacctgtggaagcgaag	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: AGTAATCGTCTCGCCTGGTG					
Bgel_064	AAG	315 bp	F: gctttgaccgagttgtaccg	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: CGATTAGGCGGTTGTGTTGG					
Bgel_065	AAG	226 bp	F: GTTGACGACGCTTCATCGAG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: tgggacttcgagtggttgag					
Bgel_066	AAC	157 bp	F: TACACGTGTGAGGAGGCTTC	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: ATTCACATCATCACCAGCGC					
Bgel_068	AGC	139 bp	F: tgctggactagtgacagtgg	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: tgcctcactactgtcaccac					
Bgel_069	AGC	217 bp	F: tgggagaagacgagctgatg	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: ggctcagaatcatgctgacg					
Bgel_072	AAG	390 bp	F: GCCATCTTCATACGTCGCTG	Multiple	-	-	-	-
			R: actttgatcaggctctcggg					

Table 2. - Continuation.

Multiple peaks are likely due to non-specificity in the primer binding sites that were not easily distinguished on agarose gel electrophoresis rather than contamination of multiple gametophytes. As we had other promising loci, we did not try to optimize any of these loci further. Loci Bgel_035 and Bgel_048 had two alleles for many gametophytes, suggesting the amplification of more than one locus (see also Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* 2011). The remaining ten loci (Table 2) had a single allele per gametophyte, as expected, and were used to genotype the gametophytes collected from Cripple Creek.

PRELIMINARY POPULATION GENETIC ANALYSES

AT CRIPPLE CREEK

We observed null allele(s) at low frequency at a single locus Bgel_067 (3.6%, only one out of 28 gametophytes; Table 3). The remaining loci amplified at all gametophytes from Cripple Creek. The *pid* value was 0.004, suggesting some resolution to distinguish among gametophytes with these ten microsatellite loci. These loci will be useful for future population genetic analyses in *B. gelatinosum* due to the low frequency of null alleles and levels of polymorphism with which to distinguish among genotypes in a population.

Of the 28 gametophytes genotyped, we observed 16 distinct genotypes at Cripple Creek. Four of these genotypes were encountered more than once: one genotype was re-encountered nine times, one three times, and two twice. Thus, genotypic richness was moderate (R=0.556). Multilocus genotypes were dispersed throughout the reach we sampled at Cripple Creek. Correspondingly, genotypic evenness was high (D^* =0.892). There was little evidence of linkage disequilibrium (=0.032). However, five of the ten loci at this site were fixed, in which all sampled gametophytes had the same allele, thereby potentially decreasing . While we only found one allele at Cripple Creek, we note we found other alleles in our initial screening of gametophytes including other sites throughout the *B. gelatinosum* range. Genetic diversity was low (H_E =0.161).

Together, these data hint at the prevailing reproductive mode of *B. gelatinosum* at Cripple Creek. Repeated genotypes

typically are interpreted as a signal of asexual reproduction. It is highly unlikely that repeated gametophytes are due to fragmentation and reattachment of gametophytes along a reach as gametophytes are physically connected to the chantransia. Other studies have observed repeated gametophytic genotypes in marine red macroalgae and suggested that this finding was due to limited resolution of the markers, compounded by the presence of only one allele per locus in the haploid phase (e.g. Guillemin *et al.* 2008; Lees *et al.* 2018). It is possible that these loci are not sufficiently polymorphic at this site, though the *pid* value was moderate suggesting resolution among individual genotypes.

Repeated genotypes could be the result of monospore production by the chantransia. Monospore production could generate a pattern of repeated chantransia genotypes throughout a stream reach. We have yet to genotype chantransia and the level of heterozygosity is thus unknown. However, we would not expect monospore production to lead to repeated gametophytic genotypes spread over c. 45 m of stream sampled at Cripple Creek. Asexuality tends to lead to an excess of heterozygosity (Balloux et al. 2003), and this has been shown in other red macroalgae (e.g. Guillemin et al. 2008; Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2016). Even if a single chantransia network of cells covered an entire rock, we would expect unique gametophytic genotypes because of meiosis and recombination and the novel combination of alleles at different loci from heterozygous, diploid chantransia. Instead, repeated genotypes could be due to intragametophytic selfing in which the union of a spermatium and a carpogonium from the same gametophyte would result in instantaneous, genome-wide homozygosity for the resultant carpospores. Carpospores would likely settle near one another, but even if carpospores dispersed throughout a reach, they would produce identical gametophytic genotypes as all loci will have two copies of the same allele, barring mutation.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

We now need to use these ten loci to genotype *B. gelatinosum* gametophytes from across the North American range. It is

TABLE 3. — Null allele frequencies for each locus were determined by nonamplification after two or three PCR attempts for gametophytes from Cripple Creek, Alabama. As gametophytes are haploid, non-amplification of an allele at a given locus was considered a null allele (see also Krueger-Hadfield *et al.* 2013).

Locus	Null Allele Frequency (%)
Bgel_021	0.0
Bgel_071	0.0
Bgel_052	0.0
Bgel_067	3.6
Bgel_053	0.0
Bgel_070	0.0
Bgel_059	0.0
Bgel_073	0.0
Bgel_057	0.0
Bgel_056	0.0

unclear if patterns at Cripple Creek, near the lower latitudinal range limit for the species are representative of the general pattern. Moreover, as we only genotyped the gametophytic phase of the life cycle, we are correspondingly limited in the types of summary statistics that are possible to calculate in order to describe the reproductive system (e.g. F_{IS}) at present. Thus, future studies should also include temporal sampling to compare genotypic frequencies across generations (e.g. Becheler et al. 2017), especially as the gametophytes are ephemeral in freshwater reds. Moreover, future sampling and genotyping efforts should include the chantransia, but developing methods to genotyping microscopic phases in life cycles are challenging (see discussion in Schoenrock et al. 2021). Nevertheless, these loci constitute an important addition to the available genetic resources for freshwater algae and will enhance our understanding of macroalgal population dynamics.

Acknowledgements

We thank B.M. Thornton, A.P. Oetterer, G.A. Lindsey, C.W. Schneider, and W.B. Chiasson for field help to collect gametophytes. M. Crowley and A. Cao for fragment analysis at the Heflin Center for Genomic Sciences at the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB). We thank S. Stoeckel for help with generating population genetic summary statistics. This study was supported by start-up funds from the College of Arts and Sciences at UAB (to SAKH), the Ohio University Student Enhancement Award (to RMC), the Ohio University Graduate Student Original Work Grant (to RMC), and the Grant in Aid of Research from the Phycological Society of America (to RMC). The Department of Biology at UAB also provided logistical support for field work. SAKH was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) CAREER Award (DEB-2141971). SJSC was supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (202095779). RMC was supported by the Ohio Center for Ecology and Evolutionary Studies Fellowship and the Ohio University Roach Fund. We acknowledge C. Amsler, K. Marion and M. Sandel, and H. Ballard, S. Kutcha and J. Schenk for serving on the dissertation committees of SJSC and RMC, respectively.

Authors contribution

R.M. Crowell and S.J. Shainker-Connelly are joint first authors; authorship order determined by a coin toss.

REFERENCES

- AGAPOW P. M. & BURT A. 2001. Indices of multilocus linkage disequilibrium. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 1 (1-2): 101-102. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8278.2000.00014.x
- BALLOUX F., LEHMANN L. & DE MEEÛS T. 2003. The population genetics of clonal and partially clonal diploids. *Genetics* 164 (4): 1635-1644. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/164.4.1635
- BARRETT S. C. H. 2011. Why reproductive systems matter for the invasion biology of plants, in RICHARDSON D. M. (ed.), Fifty Years of Invasion Ecology: The Legacy of Charles Elton. John Wiley & Sons, Oxford: 195-210. https://doi. org/10.1002/9781444329988.ch15
- BARRETT S. C. H. 2014. Evolution of mating systems: outcrossing versus selfing, in LOSOS J. (ed.), *The Princeton Guide to Evolu*tion. Princeton University Press, Princeton: 356-362. https:// doi.org/10.1515/9781400848065-050
- BECHELER R., MASSON J. P., ARNAUD-HAOND S., HALKETT F., MARIETTE S., GUILLEMIN M.-L., VALERO M., DESTOMBE C. & STOECKEL S. 2017. — ClonEstiMate, a Bayesian method for quantifying rates of clonality of populations genotyped at twotime steps. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17 (6): e251-e267. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12698
- BEUKEBOOM L. & PERRIN N. 2014. The Evolution of Sex Determination. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 240 p. https://doi. org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199657148.001.0001
- BRINGLOE T. T., STARKO S., WADE R. M., VIEIRA C., KAWAI H., DE CLERCK O., COCK J. M., COELHO S. M., DESTOMBE C., VALERO M., NEIVA J., PEARSON G. A., FAUGERON S., SER-RÃO E. A. & VERBRUGGEN H. 2020. — Phylogeny and evolution of the brown algae. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 39 (4): 281-321. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2020.1787679
- COELHO N. C., SERRÃO E. A. & ALBERTO F. 2014. Characterization of fifteen microsatellite markers for the kelp *Laminaria* ochroleuca and cross species amplification within the genus. *Conservation Genetics Resources* 6: 949-950. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-014-0249-x
- DRERUP S. A. & VIS M. L. 2014. Varied phenologies of *Batra-chospermum gelatinosum* gametophytes (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta) in two low-order streams. *Fottea, Olomouc* 14 (2): 121-127. https://doi.org/10.5507/fot.2014.009
- ELLEGREN H. & GALTIER N. 2016. Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 17: 422-433. https://doi. org/10.1038/nrg.2016.58
- ENTWISLE T. J., VIS M. L., CHIASSON W. B., NECCHI O. JR. & SHERWOOD A. R. 2009. Systematics of the Batrachospermales (Rhodophyta) a synthesis. *Journal of Phycology* 45 (3): 704-715. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00686.x
- FAIRCLOTH B. C. 2008. msatcommander: detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8 (1): 92-94. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01884.x
- GUILLEMIN M.-L., FAUGERON S., DESTOMBE C., VIARD F., COR-REA J. A. & VALERO M. 2008. — Genetic variation in wild and cultivated populations of the haploid-diploid red alga *Gracilaria chilensis*: how farming practices favor asexual reproduction and heterozygosity. *Evolution* 62 (6): 1500-1519. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00373.x
- HALKETT F., SIMON J. & BALLOUX F. 2005. Tackling the population genetics of clonal and partially clonal organisms. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 20 (4): 194-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tree.2005.01.001

- HAMRICK J. L. & GODT M. J. W. 1996. Effects of life history traits on genetic diversity in plant species. *Philosophical Trans*actions: Biological Sciences 351 (1345): 1291-1298. https://doi. org/10.1098/rstb.1996.0112
- HEISER S., AMSLER C. D. & KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A. 2023. Microsatellite locus development in the seaweed *Plocamium* sp. *Antarctic Science* 35 (1): 43-45. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0954102022000475
- HOUSE D. L., VANDENBROEK A. M. & VIS M. L. 2010. Intraspecific genetic variation of *Batrachospermum gelatinosum* (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta) in eastern North America. *Phycologia* 49 (5): 501-507. https://doi.org/10.2216/09-104.1
- KAIN J. M. & DESTOMBE C. 1995. A review of the life history, reproduction and phenology of *Gracilaria*. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 7: 269-281. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00004001
- KLEKOWSKI E. J. 1969. Reproductive biology of the *Pteridophyta*. II. Theoretical considerations. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 62 (3): 347-359. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1969. tb01972.x
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A. 2020. What's ploidy got to do with it? Understanding the evolutionary ecology of macroalgal invasions necessitates incorporating life cycle complexity. *Evolutionary Applications* 13 (3): 486-499. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12843
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., COLLÉN J., DAGUIN-THIÉBAUT C. & VALERO M. 2011. — Genetic population structure and mating system in *Chondrus crispus* (Rhodophyta). *Journal of Phycology* 47 (3): 440-450. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.00995.x
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., ROZE D., MAUGER S. & VALERO M. 2013. — Intergametophytic selfing and microgeographic genetic structure shape populations of the intertidal red seaweed *Chondrus crispus. Molecular Ecology* 22 (12): 3242-3260. https://doi. org/10.1111/mec.12191
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., KOLLARS N. M., BYERS J. E., GREIG T. W., HAMMANN M., MURRAY D. C., MURREN C. J., STRAND A. E., TERADA R., WEINBERGER F. & SOTKA E. E. 2016. — Invasion of novel habitats uncouples haplo-diplontic life cycles. *Molecular Ecology* 25 (16): 3801-3816. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13718
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., GUILLEMIN M.-L., DESTOMBE C., VALERO M. & STOECKEL S. 2021. — Exploring the genetic consequences of clonality in haplodiplontic taxa. *Journal of Heredity* 112 (1): 92-107. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esaa063
- KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., SHAINKER-CONNELLY S. J., CROW-ELL R. M. & VIS M. L. 2024. — The eco-evolutionary importance of reproductive system variation in macroalgae: Freshwater reds as a case study. *Journal of Phycology* 60 (1): 15-25. https://doi. org/10.1111/jpy.13407
- LEES L. E., KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A., CLARK A. J., DUERMIT E. A., SOTKA E. E. & MURREN C. J. 2018. — Nonnative *Gracilaria* vermiculophylla tetrasporophytes are more difficult to debranch and are less nutritious than gametophytes. *Journal of Phycology* 54 (4): 471-482. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12746
- MAGGS C. A. 1988. Intraspecific life history variability in the Florideophycidae (Rhodophyta). *Botanica Marina* 31 (6): 465-490. https://doi.org/10.1515/botm.1988.31.6.465
- MATSCHINER M. & SALZBURGER W. 2009. TANDEM: integrating automated allele binning into genetics and genomics workflows. *Bioinformatics* 25 (15): 1982-1983. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp303
- Olsen K. C., Ryan W. H., WINN A. A., Kosman E. T., Moscoso J. A., Krueger-Hadfield S. A., Burgess S. C., Carlon D. B., Grosberg R. K., Kalisz S. & Levitan D. R.

2020. — Inbreeding shapes the evolution of marine invertebrates. *Evolution* 74 (5): 871-882. https://doi.org/10.1111/ evo.13951

- ORIVE M. E., BARFIELD M., FERNANDEZ C. & HOLT R. D. 2017. Effects of clonal reproduction on evolutionary lag and evolutionary rescue. *The American Naturalist* 190 (4): 469-490. https:// doi.org/10.1086/693006
- OTTO S. P. & MARKS J. C. 1996. Mating systems and the evolutionary transition between haploidy and diploidy. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 57 (3): 197-218. https://doi. org/10.1006/bijl.1996.0011
- R CORE TEAM. 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from https://www.R-project.org/.
- RYAN W. H., AIDA J. & KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A. 2021. The contribution of clonality to population genetic structure in the sea anemone *Diadumene lineata*. *Journal of Heredity* 112 (1): 122-139. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esaa050
- SCHOEBEL C. N., BRODBECK S., BUEHLER D., CORNEJO C., GAJUREL J., HARTIKAINEN H., KELLER D., LEYS M., RIČANOVÁ S., SEGEL-BACHER G., WERTH S. & CSENCSICS D. 2013. — Lessons learned from microsatellite development for nonmodel organisms using 454 pyrosequencing. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26 (3): 600-611. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12077
- SHEATH R. G. 1984. The biology of freshwater red algae, in ROUND F. E. & CHAPMAN D. J. (eds), Progress in Phycological Research. Vol. 3. Biopress, Bristol: 89-156.
- SHEATH R. G. & COLE K. M. 1992. Biogeography of stream macroalgae in North America. *Journal of Phycology* 28 (4): 448-460. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1992.00448.x
- STOECKEL Š., ARNAUD-HAOND S. & KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A. 2021a. — The combined effect of haplodiplonty and partial clonality in population genetics. *Journal of Heredity* 112 (1): 78-91. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esaa062
- STOECKEL S., PORRO B. & ARNAUD-HAOND S. 2021b. The discernible and hidden effects of clonality on the genotypic and genetic states of populations: improving our estimation of clonal rates. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21 (4): 1068-1084. https://doi. org/10.1111/1755-0998.13316
- SCHOENROCK K. M., MCHUGH T. & KRUEGER-HADFIELD S. A. 2021. — Revisiting the 'bank of microscopic forms' in macroalgaldominated ecosystems. *Journal of Phycology* 57 (1): 14-29. https:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jpy.13092
- onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jpy.13092 TIBAYRENC M. & AYALA F. J. 1991. — Towards a population genetics of microorganisms: The clonal theory of parasitic protozoa. *Parasitology Today* 7 (9): 228-232. https://doi. org/10.1016/0169-4758(91)90234-F
- VIS M. L. & SHEATH R. G. 1997. Biogeogrphy of Batrachospermum gelatinosum (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta) in North America based on molecular and morphological data. Journal of Phycology 33 (3): 520-526. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1997.00520.x
- VIS M. L., SHEATH R. G. & COLE K. M. 1996. Distribution and systematics of *Batrachospermum* (Batrachospermales, Rhodophyta) in North America. 8a. Section *Batrachospermum: Batrachospermum gelatinosum. European Journal of Phycology* 31 (1): 31-40. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670269600651161
- WHITEHEAD M. R., LANFEAR R., MITCHELL R. J. & KARRON J. D. 2018. — Plant mating systems often vary widely among populations. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 6: 38. https://doi. org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00038

Submitted on 3 December 2023; accepted on 12 February 2024; published on 15 May 2024.