Quantification of the coralline carbonate from a Serravallian rhodolith bed of the Tertiary Piedmont Basin (Stazzano, Alessandria, NW Italy)
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ABSTRACT
Digital photographs of the surface of Serravallian rhodolith-bearing strata from Stazzano (Tertiary Piedmont Basin) Italy have been elaborated by Image-J open-source software (U.S. National Institutes of Health – NIH), to obtain a map of the algal surface in each photograph. The 33 images in the 7 m-thick outcrop, covering a total of 11.53 m², were complemented by data on rhodolith shape, structure, composition and taphonomy. The Serravallian rhodolith body was produced in a long-lasting infralittoral sedimentary environment and then transported into deeper water by slumping. Although biological and geological definitions of rhodolith-dominated facies are inconsistent in some respects, the image analysis described here allows direct comparison of percentages of rhodolith dominance in discrete fossil rhodolith facies and their living counterparts. The procedures involved are both rapid and inexpensive, so the method appears very useful for carbonate quantification. The calculated algal cover, based only on rhodoliths > 2 cm, ranges from 11.9 to 59.7% (mean 27.3%). The carbonate production rate of the Serravallian rhodolith bed was probably in the range 55 to 136.3 g CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹ as calculated for a Brazilian present-day analogue.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-geniculate coralline algae of the Order Corallinales and Sporolithales are a major calcifying component of the marine benthos in tropical to polar oceans at all depths of the photic zone (Adey & Macintyre 1973; Kuffner et al. 2007). They are the longest-lived shallow marine organisms (Frantz et al. 2005), and are considered as one of the Earth’s “Big Four” benthic communities dominated by macrophytes (Foster 2001). Their key role in the production of biogenic calcium carbonate in temperate waters, along with their global distribution, make crustose coralline algae an important element in the assessment of the global calcium carbonate budget.

The carbon cycle is straightforwardly correlated to the global climate change, which is lowering the pH of ocean water and its carbonate saturation state (Sarmiento et al. 1998; Kuffner et al. 2007). Recent research demonstrated that living coralline algae are sensitive to an increase in the ocean temperature and to acidification; both factors decrease their rates of growth and calcification with no evidence of adaptation (review in Kleypas et al. 2006). Among the calcium carbonate crystal structures formed by marine calcifying organisms in constructing their shells, liths and skeletons, natural Mg calcite is more soluble than calcite and can be even more soluble than aragonite, when Mg is very high and particle shape is favourable. Therefore Mg-calcite organisms such as coralline algae are most vulnerable to change and are among the first organisms to be affected by lower saturations (Feely et al. 2004; Orr et al. 2005; Basso 2012).

Despite their wide distribution, few attempts have been made to quantify their abundance on...
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Before the definition of Bosellini & Ginsburg (1971) and the review of Adey & Macintyre (1973), rhodoliths were largely overlooked in geological literature. More recently, the geological nomenclature to indicate the fossil counterparts of living rhodolith beds has included a suite of terms such as rhodolith facies, coralline algal facies, rhodolith rudstone and floatstone, oncolithic rudstone, rhodolith pavements (= densely packed rhodolith rudstone), coralline algal nodule limestone, maerl pavement, red algal clast rudstone and coralline branch rudstone and floatstone (among others: Bosence & Pedley 1979; Manker & Carter 1987; Fravega et al. 1993; Henrich & Freiwald 1995; Nebelsick & Bassi 2000; Rasser & Piller 2004; Di Credico et al. 2004; Basso et al. 2006; Hetzinger...
However, quantitative data on fossil rhodolith deposits are still lacking, thus preventing an appropriate use of the fossil record for modelling the future response of the oceans to rising temperature and CO₂ concentration.

Moreover, the possibility of quantitative comparisons among fossil coralline deposits is hampered by the heterogeneity of procedures, used for the description and calculation of the algal contribution to the total carbonate. There is no consensus on the sampling strategy to be used, including: visual estimate of percentage cover during field work; random sampling and laboratory-based examination of sediment samples; random extraction of rhodoliths from strata; counts along transects, etc. Some of these techniques imply a large degree of subjectivity (e.g., *in situ* visual estimates or random extraction).

Finally, any comparison between modern marine sediments and the geologic record is complicated by the use of sectorial terms and definitions proposed by researchers with various cultural backgrounds.

Quantification is the only practicable strategy to do away with subjectivity, equivocal or ambiguous nomenclature, and inhomogeneous descriptions of coralline-dominated sediment, and to provide data suitable for biogeochemical modelling on a planetary scale (Basso 2012). In this perspective, the scientific community is challenged by the necessity of testing new methods and techniques to produce quantitative data from various geologic settings and present-day environments. We propose here a new method for the quantification of a fossil rhodolith bed, tested in a Serravallian outcrop of Stazzano (Alessandria, Italy).

**THE TERTIARY PIEDMONT BASIN**

The Tertiary Piedmont Basin (TPB) parallels the Piedmont-Liguria border in northwestern Italy (Fig. 1). The TPB is a late- to post-orogenic basin that evolved in a piggy-back position on the Monferrato thrust belt. Its deposition was strongly controlled by tectonic and eustatic events (Gelati & Gnaccolini 1988; Mutti *et al.* 1995; Giglia *et al.* 1996; Capponi *et al.* 2001, 2009).

Marine sediments (Upper Eocene-Upper Miocene) predominate in the basin fill which unconformably overlies the Ligurian Alps, the Sestri-Voltaggio Zone.
and the northwestern sector of the northern Apennines. The early stage of sedimentation of the TPB includes a siliciclastic deposition that evolved from Upper Eocene breccias through Lower Oligocene conglomerate to Upper Oligocene-Lower Miocene sandstone and silty-marl.

These units, grouped into several formations, record a pre-transgressive and transgressive phase (time-transgressive from the eastern to the western sectors), characterized by the deposition of alluvial fan and fan delta siliciclastic conglomerates and sandstones, shallow-marine coarse to fine grained siliciclastics, and reef limestones (Gelati & Gnaccolini 1988; Turco et al. 1994; Mutti et al. 1995; Quaranta et al. 2009) (Fig. 2). These units are affected by long-wavelength open fold; their axes trend N-S and their vergence is towards the east. Locally, deformations evolve into thrusts which caused overlap of the metamorphic basement onto the TPB sediments (Capponi et al. 2001, 2009).

In the Miocene, at least the northern parts of the TPB evolved in a piggy-back position on the Monferrato thrust belt. This evolution is strictly connected with the Corsica-Sardinia roto-translation and the related tectonic events that formed the arcuate morphology of the western and Ligurian Alps (Giglia et al. 1996). The Lower Miocene tectonic activity originated erosional surfaces, angular unconformities, turbidites, slumpings and channelling of shallow sediments with syn-sedimentary foldings (Ghibaudo et al. 1985; Gelati & Gnaccolini 1988; Giglia et al. 1996). These bodies are covered by Langhian slope pelites, that grades upwards to shelf sandstone and fine conglomerate (Serravallian) that testify a shallowing event. They are followed by a new deepening phase with the deposition of sandy-silty and silty sediments with slumpings and channelling of shallow-water sediments caused by a regional tectonic activity (top of Serravallian section; Ghibaudo et al. 1985; Gelati & Gnaccolini 1988; Giglia et al. 1996).

**Fig. 3.** — View of the rhodolith-rich stratigraphic section of Stazzano (Tertiary Piedmont Basin, Italy) along the Scrivia River.
The TPB depositional history ends with the sedimentation of Tortonian marine pelites grading to pre-evaporitic deposits; these sediments are affected by intraformational unconformities, debris flows, and slumps (Ghibaudo et al. 1985; Gelati & Gnaccolini 1988; Giglia et al. 1996).

**THE SERRAVALLIAN RHODOLITHS OF STAZZANO**

The portion of Serravallian section in the central part of the TPB shows outer shelf silty marl and siltstone grading upwards to inner shelf sandstone (hybrid arenites) and fine conglomerate, followed by sandy-silty and silty sediments, in which slumps and conglomeratic or biocalciruditic lenticular bodies are interbedded (Ghibaudo et al. 1985) (Figs 2; 3). The Stazzano lithostratigraphic section (upper Serravallian, total thickness about 13 m, Serravalle Sandstone Formation) is a classic exposure of the uppermost levels of the Serravalle Sandstone Formation. There it is possible to observe the channels filled by rhodolithic rudstone and floatstone cutting the silty deposits. The maximum thickness of the rhodolith-bearing outcrop is 7 m and the horizontal exposed surface has an area of about 40 m$^2$. The rhodolithic bodies of Stazzano are a slumped mass derived from the inner shelf and coastal area; similar mass movements caused by gravity flow characterize the Late Serravallian succession in the Serravalle Scrivia sector of the TPB (Vervloet 1966; Fravega & Vannucci 1982).
The fauna includes echinoids, brachiopods, molluscs, annelids, bryozoans, and benthic and planktonic foraminifers (Fravega & Vannucci 1982). The rhodoliths from this section have spheroidal (93%) and ellipsoidal (7%) shapes (Vannucci 1980; Fravega & Vannucci 1982; Fravega et al. 1993; Quaranta et al. 2009). Most rhodoliths have long axes (see Bosellini & Ginsburg 1971) ranging between 2 and 6 cm, but rhodoliths up to 10 cm are not rare (Fig. 4). The internal structure of rhodoliths is laminar (mainly concentric) or laminar-columnar (94%) and subordinately columnar or branching (6%), with the minority form restricted to the innermost part of the coating sequence. They have a bioclastic nucleus (96%) that rarely is a lumpy to fruticose coralline alga (4%).

The algal assemblage is dominated by Lithophyloideae (41.5%), mainly Lithophyllum Philippi (40.1%) and rare Titanoderma Nägeli in Nägeli & Cramer (1.4%). Melobesioideae (33.1%) are represented by several species of Lithothamnion Heydrich and/or Phymatolithon Foslie (16.2%) and Mesophyllum Lemoine (16.9%). Mastophoroideae represent up to 24% of the total assemblage, while Sporolithales (1.4%) are occasional. The most abundant species are Lithophyllum racemus (Lamarck) Foslie, Mesophyllum gignouxii Lemoine and Mesophyllum sancti-dyonisii Lemoine. Encrusting-warty (54%) or encrusting (33%) growth forms are dominant; warty-lumpy to lumpy (10%) and fruticose (3%) growth forms are rare. The available literature (Vannucci 1980; Fravega & Vannucci 1982; Fravega...
et al. 1993; Quaranta et al. 2009) indicates that the rhodoliths formed in warm waters, under mid-high energy conditions on the soft bottoms of the inner part of the infralittoral zone.

METHODS

33 sites have been photographed and analyzed, 26 are along bedding planes and 7 are on subvertical surfaces across the strata.

A suite of digital photographs of the surface of rhodolith-bearing strata has been obtained and elaborated by Image-J open-source software (U.S. National Institutes of Health – NIH), in order to produce a map of the algal surface in each photograph. The Image-J procedure for the quantification of algal carbonate from photographs consists of seven steps (Fig. 5): 1) select picture and set scale and unit to obtain true distance; 2) remove from the photograph objects not to be counted (i.e. leaves, metric scale); 3) convert the photograph into 8 bit grey scale (Fig. 5A); 4) increase brightness and contrast (Fig. 5B); 5) threshold the image to enhance contrast and to allow surface selection (Fig. 5C); 6) enter minimum size of particle to be counted (2 cm); 7) start process of particle analysis (Fig. 5D). The result is expressed as area of the photograph occupied by algal carbonate, and its percentage on the total area.

The minimum particle size has been set at 2 cm for two reasons: 1) 2 cm is the size of the smallest rhodoliths; and 2) the non-algal carbonate bioclasts in the rhodolith rudstone/floatstone matrix, which could falsify the results of the computerized image analysis, do not exceed 2 cm in diameter.

The rhodoliths are embedded in a calcite-cemented hybrid arenite to biosparite or biosparrudite. The carbonate grains of the matrix (Embry & Klovan 1971) are biogenic, and are mainly coralline fragments (in most cases about 10-25% of the total) and skeletal remains of molluscs up to 5 mm long. Among benthic foraminifers, rotaliids are abundant while miliolids, Amphistegina d’Orbigny, 1826, unidentified agglutinated and encrusting foraminifers are less common. Fragments of annelids, bryozoans, and echinoids are common. Planktonic foraminifers are rare, but are more abundant above and below the rhodolithic bodies. The non-carbonate fraction of the matrix is composed of sand-sized grains of quartz, rock fragments and rare feldspar. The sediment trapped in the algal layers within the rhodoliths has the same texture and composition as that of the embedding matrix.

RESULTS

The photographs analysed a total surface of 11.53 m² (Table 1). The area covered by the 26 stations located on the bedding planes is about 9.8 m², and the area covered by the 7 stations sited across the strata is about 1.7 m². The number of rhodoliths at each station with a diameter larger or equal to 2 cm identified in photographs ranges from 10 to 268, with a mean abundance of about 102 (Table 1). The areas of the photographs range from about 443 to about 9502 cm². The percentage of algal cover, based only on grains > 2 cm in diameter, ranges from 11.9 to 59.7%, of the total area (mean 27.3%; Table 1). The abundance of rhodoliths and the percentage of algal cover on the bedding planes and on the subvertical surfaces normal to the bedding plane is similar in all respects. A mean density of 273 rhodoliths per m² has been calculated.

The rhodolith beds (including maerl beds; Bosellini & Ginsburg 1971; Bosence 1976; Birkett et al. 1998) are defined in present-day environment as marine soft-substrates with a > 10% cover of living coralline thalli (Steller et al. 2003).
The surfaces of rhodolith beds are composed of a mixture of dead and living thalli, but few ecological studies have commented on the proportions of live to dead rhodoliths. In Western Port (southern Australia) live rhodoliths on the seafloor are reported as 15-37% of a total 400-600 rhodoliths m$^{-2}$ (Harvey & Bird 2008). In the Abrolhos Bank (tropical Brazil), live rhodoliths are about 20% of the total (Figueiredo et al. 2007). Elsewhere the live/dead ratio is variable, also depending on the health of the local corallines.

On the basis of the geological definition of rhodolith (a red algal nodule > 2 mm) and rudstone (> 10% grains larger than 2 mm in contact), a  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites on the bedding plane</th>
<th>n rhodoliths</th>
<th>Analyzed area (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>rhodoliths (n m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Algal area (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Algal cover (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>9497.2</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1432.2</td>
<td>15.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>9081.7</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>3741.7</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>7059.7</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>840.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3642.5</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>590.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>6150.9</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>1205.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>5747.1</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>1862.1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>8714.5</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>3921.5</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6709.6</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1670.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>9502.3</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>3354.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>4955.1</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>2348.7</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2759.4</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>331.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1671.5</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>436.3</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2784.2</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>654.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2879.1</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>382.9</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>860.8</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2374.7</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>579.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1412.9</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>317.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1927.7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1010.1</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1002.9</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>598.7</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2044.2</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>598.9</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2660.8</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>348.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>752.4</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>203.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>606.8</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>995.3</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>204.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>875.2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2784.2</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>382.9</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>860.8</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4142.6</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>608.7</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5078.2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2666.4</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1653.3</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>783.6</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1524.6</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>437.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>443.4</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2746.9</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>357.1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1985.1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>331.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3355</td>
<td>115266.9</td>
<td>32664.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>443.4</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>9502.3</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>3921.5</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>3492.9</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>989.8</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s.d.)</td>
<td>(88.4)</td>
<td>(2817.7)</td>
<td>(81)</td>
<td>(1070)</td>
<td>(13.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rhodolithic rudstone is defined by the percentage of rhodoliths, whilst in biology, the definition of rhodolith bed is based on the percentage of seafloor covered by living thalli. Rhodolith pavements are an almost continuous surface made of closely packed rhodoliths (Bosence & Pedley 1979; Rasser & Piller 2004; Bassi et al. 2009) that likely corresponded to true rhodolith beds, however, the geological and biological terms differ significantly when dealing with rudstone and floatstone that contain only small rhodoliths. From available data on dead/live ratios in modern rhodolith beds, a rhodolith rudstone with a low percentage (number of grains) of small rhodoliths would not be considered a rhodolith bed, because the cover of live corallines was probably much lower than 10%. Further problems arise from the use of heterogenous techniques to describe and sample rhodolith beds, although a rigorous and unequivocal sampling method has been recently proposed (Steller et al. 2007).

On the contrary, no interpretation or assumption is required by the image analysis procedure described here, that permits a low-cost, rapid, and objective comparison of rhodolith percentages in various fossil rhodolith facies and with those of their living counterparts.

The similar variability of rhodolith distribution and composition in the bedding planes and subvertical surfaces demonstrate the homogeneity of the Serravallian rhodolith bed. The rhodolith-embedding matrix and the sediment trapped within the rhodoliths have the same origin, thus confirming that all the skeletal component of the 7 m-thick rhodolithic body represents the carbonate produced by a single infralittoral sedimentary environment (Vannucci 1980; Fravega & Vannucci 1982; Fravega et al. 1993).

Literature concerning present-day infralittoral rhodolith beds around the world reports rhodolith abundance ranging from 24 to 10,676 rhodoliths m⁻² (Riul et al. 2009) and growth rates of infralittoral rhodoliths in warm-water between 0.25 and 0.6 mm yr⁻¹ (review in Foster 2001).

Existing coralline associations in infralittoral temperate-warm environments can be regarded as a useful basis of comparison for the interpretation of our Serravallian example. The search for analogues should concentrate on marine areas where climatic and oceanographic conditions prevent the development of scleractinian reef-building corals, so that red calcareous algae become dominant. Such a depositional environment is demarcated by the present-day geographic distribution of the “rhodagal” facies (Carannante et al. 1988). In particular, dominant Lithophylloideae associated with Hapalidiaceae are abundant in the temperate-warm infralittoral rhodolith beds along the Atlantic coast of southern Brazil (State of Santa Catarina), where the coralline flora, the benthic association and the carbonate production have been investigated (Gherardi 2004; Rocha et al. 2006; Pascoli et al. 2009). In the Santa Catarina rhodolith beds, the living and mostly spheroidal rhodoliths and their fragments cover from 20 to 40% of the sandy seafloor along transects ranging from 7 to 20 m in depth. Living rhodoliths are associated with dead corallines that make up 15 to 35% of the cover, for a total coralline cover of 35 to 68% (Gherardi 2004). Gherardi (2004), following Bosence (1980) and Freiwald & Henrich (1994) estimated that the Santa Catarina rhodolith beds produce carbonates at a rate of 55 to 136.3 g CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹. The cover percentage of the Santa Catarina living rhodoliths largely overlaps our data. Interestingly, both Gherardi’s (2004) and our results are based on photographic transects where only macroscopic grains can be confidently identified during image processing.

At the growth rate of 0.25-0.6 mm yr⁻¹ a 2 cm rhodolith forms in 34-80 years, and a 10 cm rhodolith probably needs 150-400 years to form. This computation is intentionally conservative, since we disregard the possibility of a radial growth of the rhodolith, which could reach a 10 cm diameter in a much shorter time. Coralline algae can survive a period of burial, but after some months permanent cover kills them (Scoffin et al. 1985; Basso 1998; Basso et al. 2009). Therefore, the slumped Serravallian rhodoliths suggest that the rate of sedimentation in the source area was very low so that rhodoliths did not undergo complete burial for several decades or centuries. This hypothesis is supported by previous palaeontological investigations that found no evidence of significant discontinuities of growth (sensu Basso et al. 2009) or of erosional surfaces inside rhodoliths (Vannucci 1980; Fravega & Vannucci 1982; Fravega
et al. 1993). Since growth appears to have been regular and more or less uninterrupted, it is likely that rhodoliths of any size up to about 10 cm were living and growing on the biodetrital Serravallian seafloor, as modern rhodoliths do. Thus, we can assume that the paleoenvironment was more or less stable, with pluricentimetric rhodoliths flourishing on the infralittoral Serravallian seafloor for at least several centuries over a wide area, large enough to provide the bulk funneled in the channel network. In particular, it is possible to calculate that a 10-cm thick rhodolith bed occupying a surface of 10 m² produced in one to four centuries the equivalent of 1 m³ of rhodolith deposit.

CONCLUSIONS

Image analyses of 11.53 m² of the 7 m-thick Serravallian rhodolithic body, together with the information available on rhodolith shape, structure, composition and taphonomy support the conclusion that the rhodolith body was produced in an infralittoral sedimentary environment on a Serravallian platform that no longer exists. Large masses of this infralittoral sediment were transported to deeper water by slumping. For biologists the term rhodolith bed is restricted to rhodolith-dominated benthic assemblages with more than 10% of living algal cover, whilst the geological terms rhodolith rudstone and floatstone are based on the number of rhodoliths that are more than 2 mm in diameter, rather than surface coverage. Therefore, the term rhodolith bed is more restrictive than rhodolith rudstone, because in the fossil the surface covered by live algae cannot be determined, and thus the two different approaches cannot be directly compared.

Image analysis permits direct comparison of the rhodolith dominance across a suite of fossil rhodolith facies and their living counterparts, the present-day rhodolith beds. This method also appears very useful for carbonate quantification through the identification of dominant macroscopic elements. Image analysis allows to use the traditional, sample-based, paleoecological approach (sediment/sedimentary rock analysis, species identification, ecology and paleoecology of the assemblage) as a voucher to the interpretation and quantification of the entire body of rock, provided that homogeneous features are assessed.

The rate of carbonate production for the Serravallian rhodolith bed was probably in the range of 55 to 136.3 g CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹ as calculated for a present-day analogue (Gherardi 2004). A 10-cm thick rhodolith bed over a surface of 10 m² produced the equivalent of 1 m³ of rhodolith deposit in 150-400 years. Although we cannot constrain the duration/surface of the rhodolith source area in our example, we feel that this kind of computational exercise could be profitably applied to autochtonous fossil deposits, in order to provide a useful term of comparison in modelling the long-term response of present-day rhodolith beds (Foster 2001; Steller et al. 2003).
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